1 2 CITY COUNCIL 3 CITY OF NEW YORK 4 -----x 5 THE TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES 6 of the 7 COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 8 -----x 9 10 November 8, 2002 Start: 10:27 a.m. Recess: 2:56 p.m. 11 12 City Hall Council Chambers 13 New York, New York 14 BEFORE: 15 JAMES GENNARO 16 Chairperson, 17 COUNCIL MEMBERS: Bill DeBlasio 18 Oliver Koppell Jose Serrano 19 20 21 22 23 24 LEGAL-EASE COURT REPORTING SERVICES, INC. 17 Battery Place - Suite 1308 25 New York, New York 10004 (800) 756-3410

```
1
2 APPEARANCES
 3
   Christopher O. Ward
   Commissioner
 4
   NYC Department of Environmental Protection
5
   Alfonso Lopez
 6 Deputy Commissioner
   NYC Department of Environmental Protection
 7
   James Mueller
8 Director of Planning
   NYC Department of Environmental Protection
9
   Alexander Brash
10 NYC Department of Parks and Recreation
11 Mike Feller
   Senior Scientist
12 Natural Resources Group
13 Veronica Sullivan
   Chief of Staff
14 Office of Congressman Anthony D. Weiner
   9th District, New York
15
   Billy G. Garrett
16 Superintendent of the Jamaica Bay Unit
   Gateway National Recreation Area
17
   Len Houston
   Chief, Environmental Analysis Branch
18
   New York District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
19
   Daniel Mundy
20
   Jamaica Bay EcoWatchers
21 Don Riepe
   American Littoral Society
22
   Adam Brown
23 Working Waterfront Association
   New York/New Jersey Baykeeper
24
25
```

```
2 A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)
 3
   Vivien Gornitz, Ph.D
 4 NASA Goddard institute for Space Studies
    Center for Climate Systems Research
 5 Columbia University
 6 Ellen Kracauer Hartig, PWS
 7 R. Lawrence Swanson, Ph.D
   Waste Reduction and Management Institute
 8 Marine Sciences Research Center
    Stony Brook University
 9
    Dr. David R. Franz
10 Professor of Biology
    Brooklyn, College
11
    Paul S. Mankiewicz, Ph.D
12 Executive Director
    The Gaia Institute
13
   Nellie Tsipoura
14 Research Associate
   Natural Resources Defense Council
15
    Ronald Bourque
16 Conservation Committee
   New York City Audubon Society
17
    John E. Pearson
18 Sierra Club - New York City Group
19 Michelle Bicek
    Program Associate
20 Environmental Defense
21
22
23
24
25
```

2 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Good morning. My name is Jim Gennaro, Chair of the New York City 3 Council Committee on Environmental Protection, and 4 I'd like to welcome you to this hearing. 5 Today the Committee will hear 6 7 testimony on a very important matter, the future of 8 Jamaica Bay. See, I got this place, I was out there on the bay, I got my pin, I'm all set. 9 10 October 27th of this year marked the 11 30th anniversary of Gateway National Recreational Area, which in large part includes Jamaica Bay. 12 13 In fact, Gateway was the first urban national park created, and has brought the national 14 15 park experience to the residents of the New York 16 City area. 17 One of the first tasks undertaken in creating Gateway was to remove the tons of debris 18 19 that has been dumped along Jamaica Bay's shorelines 20 for decades. 21 Years ago the bay was not in a good state and was considered by some to be nothing more 22 than a smelly swamp. Today, after much clean-up and 23 stricter environmental regulations, Jamaica Bay is 24 an oasis of great ecological importance, offering a 25

2 sanctuary to more than 300 species of birds and
 3 nearly 100 species of fish, as well as amphibians,
 4 reptiles and small mammals.

5 Now, when I was out on the bay the 6 other day, I didn't actually count all 300 species, 7 I counted some but not all of them. I'm so excited 8 having been out there, it was terrific.

9 However, for the base marshlands, who serve as nesting and feeding areas for an abundance 10 of birds and other wildlife and perform the central 11 role in controlling floods and protecting Brooklyn 12 13 and Queens shorefront from storms and erosion, they are rapidly and mysteriously vanishing, and numerous 14 15 theories have surfaced that attempt to explain this 16 phenomenon, such as filling and dredging of the bay, 17 treated wastewater from some of the City's sewerage treatment plants that is released into the bay, 18 19 changes in the title flows, which have adversely 20 affected sediment flows in the bay, a rise in sea 21 level as a result of global warming, and the growing population of geese eating marsh grass buds before 22 23 they can grow.

Nonetheless, the scientific andenvironmental community has yet to determine a

2 definite cause which brings us to today's hearing 3 and we're going to figure this all out, again, by 4 1:00.

5 We may not know the cause behind the 6 erosion of marshlands of Jamaica Bay, but we surely 7 cannot afford to sit back and watch them vanish 8 before our eyes.

9 It is the hope of this Committee that testimony presented today will provide the New York 10 11 City Council with the information necessary to develop a Jamaica Bay preservation action plan. 12 Certainly we want to have a role in that, but there 13 14 are many other good people who have come before us 15 who have done great work and who continue to do work 16 and I think we just want to try to play our small 17 role, you know, to try to catalyze that. 18 Simply put, it's vitally important 19 that this Committee work together with the 20 scientific and environmental community to rescue the 21 marshlands of Jamaica Bay. And on a personal note I'd like to thank all the folks from Gateway who 22

23 took us out on the water the other day, it was a

24 great experience, and it got us all excited.

25 I would also like to thank the staff

б

of the Committee, Donna DeCostanzo and Richard 2 Colon, for their work in bringing this hearing 3 about, and I would certainly like to recognize my 4 colleague Oliver Koppell, former Chair of the 5 Environmental Committee of the New York State б Assembly, for his interest in this issue, and I'd 7 like to call our first panel, DEP Commissioner Chris 8 Ward and his associates. 9 10 COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Mr. Chairman, 11 if I just might? CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Oh, sure. 12 13 COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: I want to indicate my support for the Committee's work in this 14 15 area and apologize because I will not be able to stay for the entire hearing, but will certainly read 16 17 all the testimony, and I appreciate your consideration. 18 19 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you. 20 And, so, our first panel, I already 21 mentioned Commissioner Ward, and James Mueller, Director of Planning for DEP, and Alfonso Lopez, is 22 that -- there it is, Alfonso Lopez. 23 24 So, thank you all very much for coming. As we do always in this Committee, we do 25

this oath thing, and we have Donna DeCostanzo, the 2 Counsel to the Committee, who will administer the 3 oath, and after that I would like you to state your 4 name for the record and proceed with your good 5 б testimony. MS. DeCOSTANZO: Please raise your 7 8 right hand. In the testimony that you're about to give, do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the 9 whole truth and nothing but the truth? 10 11 COMMISSIONER WARD: I do, yes. 12 MR. MUELLER: Yes. 13 MR. LOPEZ: Yes. 14 MS. DeCOSTANZO: Thank you. 15 COMMISSIONER WARD: Thank you, Mr. 16 Chairman. Council Member Koppell, good to see you 17 again. I have a long presentation that I'd like to go through for the Committee today. I think the 18 19 Committee's recognition and leadership on, (1) the 20 importance of Jamaica Bay, but at the same time the 21 complexity of issues that we face going forward requires a stage setting, if you will, both on the 22 history of the Bay, somewhat of a sense of where the 23 Department of Environmental Protection has been with 24 its mandate and discuss the project specific and 25

capital budget programs that we have, give you a bit 2 of a framework for the good science and 3 collaborative effort that has been going forward 4 with the Army Corps, the National Park Service, and 5 our other City agencies, to a sense give people a б context for where we might go and address this very 7 8 difficult issue, but, obviously, critical issue. 9 The first slide you have is a great one in the sense that you are looking at a 10 navigational chart from 1899 which shows what 11 Jamaica Bay looked like over a century ago, and as 12 13 you can see, beach and broad channels located along 14 the southern portion of the bay are evident and had 15 similar depths as they do today, and Grassy Bay is 16 located in the northern portion and had depths 17 raising one to five feet. And as you can see, Jamaica Bay was bordered mostly with tidal wetlands. 18 19 I use this slide because I think it's 20 interesting in how often we think we know the 21 environment that we are seeking to protect, and I was struck when I read the book Gotham on the early 22 settlers of New York, Dutch and English settlers, 23 describe Manhattan, and the Island of Manhattan and 24 25 Long Island as these pristine gardens, these hedonic

gardens untouched by human hands when, in fact, the 2 Island of Manhattan had been cultivated, had been 3 geographically changed and had been settled by the 4 Native Americans that dotted the Island, and they 5 were not looking at a pristine hedonic environment, б 7 they were looking at a working society in a 8 functioning economy within a different ecological 9 setting.

10 So, I start with this slide to give some context on how often we are thinking about our 11 ecology as a way that we are the first to come upon, 12 13 and that's particularly in Jamaica Bay not the case. 14 As you can see in the next slide, in 15 the past century, but mostly in the past 40 to 60 16 years, Jamaica Bay has been altered by man's 17 activities, population growth and urban development have resulted in the hardening of shore lines on the 18 19 northern portion of the bay, thereby eliminating 20 most of the tidal wetlands. The Northern Channel has 21 been dredged to about 25 to 30 feet, and the Grassy Bay was dredged to a maximum deputy of 50 feet to 22 support the development of JFK Airport and its 23 runways. And in the spirit of full disclosure, my 24 previous position had been with the Port Authority 25

of New York and New Jersey and with intimately 2 involved with Kennedy Airport issues. 3 The Broad Channel community has also 4 developed, which together with the JFK extension has 5 effectively separated Grassy Bay from the western б 7 portion of Jamaica Bay. 8 So, what has been the environmental impacts of this urbanization? Population growth and 9 the pollutant inputs into Jamaica Bay have obviously 10 11 increased over time. And this table illustrates the forces 12 of the pollutants, the inputs and particularly 13 identifies the pollutants of concern, as well as 14 15 what the science community has identified as the 16 possible impact that these have had on the 17 ecosystems of Jamaica Bay. 18 During dry weather, this is an 19 innovation that I, as the recent Commissioner, have 20 really come to focus on, during dry weather the 21 combined sewer system servicing Jamaica Bay convey 22 only the sanitary flow to the water, or wastewater 23 treatment facility. 24 However, during large rainfalls, the volume of sanitary and stormwater flow exceeds the 25

2 capacity and this results in overflows known as the
 3 CSO program or combined sewer.

4 And seepage and leachate from landfills may contain elevated levels of toxic 5 б materials which may affect aquatic and ventic life. 7 So, you can see that there are a variety of potential impacts that are occurring in 8 and around Jamaica Bay which are loading, if you 9 10 will, the bay, and then having a variety of effects, 11 eutrophication, low dissolved oxygen for aquatic 12 life.

13 I think DEP, to its credit, has 14 recognized its role in addressing the long-term 15 ecology in Jamaica Bay, and what I'd like to go 16 through now is a very aggressive capital intensive 17 program approach to addressing the potential 18 nutrient loading in the bay.

19 And I'll start with the construction 20 of the four wastewater treatment facilities 21 servicing Jamaica Bay, which began in the 22 mid-thirties and was completed in the early 1950s. 23 And Al Lopez, we were out at the 26th Ward the other 24 day, and, again, the history of wastewater treatment 25 is a facility that we are essentially implementing a

2 wastewater treatment plant, that was formulated a 3 master planning effort in I think around 1918, and 4 the foresighted wisdom of the City leaders then to 5 begin to put together a plan is what we are building 6 on, and the challenges are going to be will we put 7 together a plan for the next 100 years.

8 So, currently all the facilities are 9 meeting the secondary treatment goals of the Clean 10 Water Act; however, DEP has continued to expand and 11 modernize these facilities in order to provide a 12 much greater level of pollution abatement, and DEP 13 has spent, or is committed to spend \$1.3 billion on 14 this expansion and modernization program.

15 In addition, DEP is currently under a 16 judicial order to develop a comprehensive nitrogen 17 control plan, for the bay, which may require 18 additional treatment and class.

We'll provide hard copies to the Council so you can see (1) the four plants that are around the bay, when they were built, the time for completion, and then the system's upgrades that we are going through. But as I said, we will spend, or we have spent, and then we will spend \$1.3 billion on the wastewater side.

2 In addition, our combined sewer overflow abatement program is part of our additional 3 efforts to control and reduce CSOs, and this started 4 with our Spring Creek facility in 1970. It's 5 б currently undergoing a design upgrade to the cost of 7 about \$87 million, and construction of the 50 million gallon Paerdegat Basin storage facility was 8 recently begun, and this project alone is expected 9 10 to cost \$300 million. And the wet weather capacity of the Jamaica water treatment facility will be 11 12 expanded by 50 million gallons per day to reduce the 13 CS overflow in the Bergen Basin. And this will come 14 as part of a theme throughout where we are with this community in Jamaica, but additional sewers are 15 16 being constructed to reduce CSOs to Thurston Basin, a facility plan is being developed for Fresh Creek 17 18 that will likely require a storage facility and an 19 increase of wet weather capacity at the 26th Ward by 20 about 50 million mgd. So, as you can see we have an integrated CSO wastewater treatment facility program 21 which is (1) capital intensive, but seeks to address 22 the capacity that we built on the wayside, augmented 23 24 with the CSO program.

25

Next, one of the main programs that

2 we have implemented which has a high visibility to 3 New Yorkers and is a critical quality of life 4 indicator, has been our aggressive floatable 5 control, and this, as you can see on the slide, is 6 really addressing the discharge of floatables from 7 CSOs, and it presents both a quality of life and an 8 aesthetic problem.

9 Far too often, and I hope the Council 10 has seen DEP's program in advertising to highlight 11 that sewer systems should not be litter receptacles. 12 When we have a storm surge and people have used the 13 sewer system to drop litter, it ends up on beaches 14 or floating in the bay.

Our floatable program with skimmer boats and barriers is to address that problem, and we issued just recently our water quality report which has indicated the success of that floatable program, and how much debris we are actually taking out of the sewer system in making sure it doesn't wash up on City beaches.

Again educating New Yorkers will be a real focus of ours that the sewer system is not a litter program, we need to have the sewer system to be part of that network and not the sanitation

2 system.

3 Sewer system improvements have also been significant for DEP, obviously to improve the 4 tributary system for Jamaica Bay. We're building new 5 б storm sewers to keep rainwater out of the sanitary 7 sewer systems, and we're replacing sanitary systems and redirecting existing ones where historically 8 they may have been candidly interconnected in an 9 10 inappropriate way.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Could you go 11 over that again? What was that last point? 12 13 COMMISSIONER WARD: Sure. We're 14 upgrading the sewer system in the tributaries to 15 Jamaica Bay by (1) building new storm sewers to keep 16 the rainwater out of the sanitary sewer system. So, we have the right capacity to handle the surges when 17 18 you've have a large rainfall and not have the 19 problem of sanitary discharge to the bay. 20 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: So right now that area is largely served by combined sewers where 21 22 you have the sanitary and storm flow? 23 COMMISSIONER WARD: Right. CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: And, so, the 24

25 additional storm sewers that are going to be built

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 will be dedicated storm sewers? 2 3 COMMISSIONER WARD: Right, increase 4 the storm sewer capacity. CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: It won't ever go 5 б to the plant, right? 7 COMMISSIONER WARD: Right, exactly. 8 And, again, we're replacing some systems where we had had overlap where we shouldn't 9 10 have overlap, and we're building new sanitary sewers to pick up illegal discharges in areas not currently 11 served by sanitary sewers. 12 13 This program alone also will cost 14 about \$100 million going forward. Last, in terms of the actual 15 16 programmatic implementation from our capital plan, I 17 would like to talk a bit about landfill remediation, 18 and the Department is committed to remediating the 19 Pennsylvania Avenue and Fountain Avenue landfills. 20 Remediation plans include installation of a geomembrane liner, a gas collection and flaring 21 22 system, and permanent grading of the fill in a barrier protection soil layer, topsoil establishment 23 in order to create an environment appropriate for 24 the planting of vegetation for that ecosystem. And 25

then over time the restoration of Penn and Fountain 2 could be a real ecological pilot project, which is 3 showing how you can close landfills, bring in 4 environmental remediation and then recreate an 5 б environment the public can use for public access, 7 and we're working with the Army Corps of Engineers and the National Park Service to return that asset 8 to the Jamaica community. 9

10 But one of the things that I highlighted as the front of this presentation is 11 where are we in terms of knowing where the sources 12 of problems that are creating the loss of wetlands? 13 14 So, we have also, in addition to the 15 capital intensive program, run a very extensive 16 water quality monitoring and modeling program, and 17 we have been active in this and going back to the 18 early 1900s, and it's known as our Harbor Survey 19 Program, and I would be glad to provide copies of 20 that, that was just published earlier this year. 21 But in order to better understand the 22 interrelationship between nutrient inputs, the primary production in algae growth, as well as the 23 dissolved oxygen in the bay, we funded the Jamaica 24 Bay euthrophication study which led to the 25

2 development of the euthrophication model, and 3 special water quality monitoring was conducted as 4 part of that effort. We need to build the science to 5 understand exactly what the solutions will be.

And the JEM, or the Jamaica Bay 6 7 Euthrophication Model, is currently being used to assess the nutrient control needs and strategies for 8 the Department, but also for the region under the 9 10 judicial order for nitrogen control, as well as working with the Army Corps of Engineers and their 11 ecosystem restoration project. And the total cost of 12 13 this study is going to probably be somewhere to be 2 14 to 3 million dollars, and it is the science that the 15 Corps will need and we will need to identify both 16 nitrogen and ecosystem restoration, what is the next steps for our capital plan. 17

18 But we have worked hard at ecosystem 19 restoration out in the Jamaica Bay, and beginning 20 around 1996, DEP has been the local sponsor with the 21 Corps on this restoration project. As I said, we 22 provided about \$3 million in both funding and in-kind services. And the final stages of the 23 ecosystem restoration study will be completed in 24 2003. And while I recognize that 2003 seems like, 25

2 you know, a long way off, the complexity and the 3 sensitivity of this system, and in a sense getting 4 the science right, is going to be critical and it 5 will be the basis of the Army Corps going forward to 6 seek authorization and funding from Congress, for 7 whatever are the necessary restoration projects that 8 this study has in fact identified.

9 But we have done a lot already, and 10 to be fair and candid, Jamaica Bay EcoWatchers are 11 really to be commended for identifying the issue of 12 wetland loss and bringing it to the attention of the 13 National Park Service.

14 In working with State DEC the Department has confirmed a lot of the observations 15 16 made by the EcoWatchers. And DEC interestingly, and this is a larger environmental question for the 17 18 region as a whole, that we have unfortunately 19 identified wetland loss as a regional issue, we need 20 to understand that this might not be, it is not just Jamaica Bay and the sources and causes of wetland 21 loss might not only be found in a Jamaica Bay 22 strategy, but would be part of a much larger 23 regional wetland approach. 24

But faced with the loss of tidal

25

2 wetlands, the National Park Service to its credit
3 convened a Blue Ribbon Panel comprised of national
4 experts in wetland functions and ecology to look

1 COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

5 into what were the causes of the bay loss, and as 6 you can see in this chart there has been significant 7 loss and deterioration of wetlands in a variety of 8 the monitoring parts around this region, and 9 particularly the loss of Spartina in Jamaica Bay was 10 a sort of key ecological indicator that something 11 was wrong.

12 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: These are the results, or these are the findings of the Blue 13 14 Ribbon Panel, correct? 15 COMMISSIONER WARD: Correct. 16 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: And the Blue Ribbon Panel was organized under the federal folks? 17 18 COMMISSIONER WARD: National Park 19 Service. 20 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: National Park 21 Service. 22 COMMISSIONER WARD: Right. 23 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I expect that 24 you'll be speaking --25 COMMISSIONER WARD: I'm sure they'll

2 talk at length.

3

4 COMMISSIONER WARD: The box chart that 5 you saw earlier is just a comparative table of loss 6 of marshes at a variety of locations, highlighting 7 that it's not solely Jamaica Bay that we need to be 8 concerned about, although it is important that there 9 is a regional question that we're going to have to 10 address as well.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay.

But let's talk a bit about the 11 findings of that panel in the marshes of Jamaica 12 Bay. The marshes were originally built by sandy 13 14 sediments coming from the ocean inlet to Jamaica 15 Bay, and they were in a sense maintained by the 16 finer sediments moving with the tidal flow and the land-side sources, you know, the natural erosion 17 18 that occurs, as well as the plant process, peat, 19 which was developing. And this was the sort of 20 baseline ecology that the Blue Ribbon Panel was looking at, and I just give you some anecdotes here 21 22 of what might be the changes which have really affected it. 23

24 The inlet moved to the west and 25 stabilized. Sediment movement near the inlet was

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
 managed, which is a sort of casual way of saying
 that it was involved with dredging.

Barrier island and shore linedeveloped.

What has often been highlighted and б 7 then identified as a potential problem was the work that the Port Authority did at Kennedy Airport, 8 particularly as it relates to the runway. The Daily 9 10 News has written a lot about the issue of is that flushing action which was lost as a result of the 11 12 runway extension part of the larger problem? And 13 then obviously what DEP has been in particular 14 concerned about, the nutrient loading from landfills 15 in wastewater sewage treatment facilities, and then, 16 finally, the whole regional questions about changes in development in and around all of these wetland 17 18 areas.

But the Blue Ribbon Panel found thefollowing to be the likely primary contributor as towetland loss in Jamaica Bay.

The dredging, as I mentioned, the high diversity of mussels that present proper marsh drainage, and the extension and stabilization of the Rockaway inlet.

2 The extension and stabilization was begun in the thirties and led to the construction of 3 the Jacob Reese Park and the Marine Parkway Bridge. 4 And it's the interrelationship of these various 5 potential causes of marsh loss that we need to begin 6 7 to understand in a much better way. 8 And as this next slide makes clear, it's not only these primary ones that we're focusing 9 10 on, but there are also going to be secondary potential impacts. 11

12 The Ulva, the lettuce, the natural-growing lettuce there might limit vegetation 13 14 and limit growth. The proliferation of jet ski's and 15 boating which occurs in the bay might also be 16 contributing to marsh loss, and then sea level rise, which you can see in this chart has been 17 18 accelerating and this is something that a variety of 19 locations are struggling with, in terms of how the 20 ecology is changing with sea level loss, and then bird foraging during spring season reduces this 21 22 initial plant growth. 23

23 So, all of this the Blue Ribbon Panel 24 has really been working hard to struggle and find 25 where are the key interrelationships which has led

1 COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION to deterioration. 2 3 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: We have people here who are going to speak to that, as well. I 4 think we have a member of the Blue Ribbon Panel, and 5 I think the federal folks are going to speak on б that. And, so, if we could sort of summarize, I 7 8 guess. 9 COMMISSIONER WARD: Yes. 10 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: So if we could sort of summarize, I guess, because I want to get to 11 12 _ _ 13 COMMISSIONER WARD: I'll finish right 14 now. 15 In summary, Jamaica Bay represents 16 the ecosystem of enormous complexity, but I would hope that the Council would recognize that the 17 18 Department has been aggressive in its capital 19 planning efforts for wastewater treatment. 20 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Obviously. 21 COMMISSIONER WARD: And that we are 22 committed to working with all of our partners to find out what will be the next phase of the DEP 23 program and identification of a restoration project 24 25 for Jamaica Bay.

2 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you. Thank 3 you, Commissioner. Thank you for your comprehensive testimony and thank you and your great people who 4 are doing so much to help this critical resource. 5 б I just have a couple of things, I 7 made some notes during your presentation. Before I begin, I would like to 8 acknowledge the presence of Council Member Serrano 9 10 from the Bronx, who has joined us. Thank you. Thanks for being here, and we have some other guests as 11 12 well. 13 Hi. How is it going up there? Thanks 14 for coming. 15 My name is Jim Gennaro. I'm Chair of 16 the Committee on Environmental Protection. Some members of the Committee are hearing testimony from 17 18 the Commissioner of the Department of Environmental 19 Protection, Christopher Ward. That's him right 20 there. And we have other people here from the 21 federal government and we're talking about Jamaica 22 Bay. 23 Has anybody heard of Jamaica Bay?

24 It's a big bay. It's a big bay. It's very important.
25 It's very, very important that we try to protect it

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 so that when you grow up your kids and your 2 grandkids will have this great vital resource. 3 Now, let's see what we've got here. 4 It looks like we've got, this is Public School 77 5 from Manhattan, and your Council Member is Eva б 7 Moskowitz. Oh, you've got a great Council Member. You folks are lucky. You folks are lucky to have 8 Eva, she's a great Council member. 9 10 Let's see, this is fifth grade, right? Fifth grade, good. Good, good, good. I 11 wouldn't want to confuse you with fourth graders. 12 Fourth graders are small, you guys are big. 13 14 And Donna Savarian? Donna Savarian, 15 are you the teacher? 16 MS. SAVARIAN: Yes. 17 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I'm a teacher, 18 too, at Queens College. So, as a teacher and as a 19 Council member, I welcome you to our City Hall here 20 and hope you have a great tour. 21 Okay, thanks very much. How about a 22 big round of applause for the kids from PS 77. 23 (Applause.) Thank you. Say hi to Eva for me. 24

She's a very good Council member. You're very lucky

25

2 to have her.

3 Okay, I was glad to hear about all 4 the great things you're doing with regard to the 5 water treatment facilities there.

Now, we had some discussion the other 6 7 day, when we're out on the bay, you know, since we've stopped ocean dumping of the sludge ten years 8 ago, whatever it was, of course, everyone knows that 9 10 we process the stuff and we take the sludge, we don't dump it anymore out at sea, we put it through 11 the centrifuge or whatever, then you dry it, and 12 13 then you've got the cake or whatever comes out of 14 that, then that goes for beneficial reuse, and 15 you've got this liquid that's been sort of squeezed 16 out of the sludge. Do you call that the centrate? Is that right? Is that what you call it? Is that what 17 18 it's called?

19 COMMISSIONER WARD: Right.

20 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: And the centrate 21 is laced with nutrients. So, what are we going to do 22 with this stuff, right? So, it's got to go back into 23 the plants. And, of course, this is a burden on the 24 plants I'm sure, because that, you know, high 25 nutrient centrate, which used to get dumped out at

2 sea now has to be fed back into the plants, correct? 3 And I think two of these plants -- I think all four 4 of these plants, of course, produce sludge, of 5 course, and then the sludge is processed. Two of 6 them I believe there? Two of them?

7 COMMISSIONER WARD: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: And then the 8 centrate gets filtered back into two of the plants 9 10 also, right? Is that right? And, now, this has got to create a real nutrient challenge for us to have 11 this stuff mixed back into the plants, I'm sure. 12 13 I'm just wondering if there's any 14 other way. Now, what if -- maybe I'm talking totally out of school here, would it be a big help for the 15 16 nutrient loading for the, you know, Jamaica Bay ecosystem, if that centrate did not get fed back 17 18 into the plants that ultimately discharge into 19 Jamaica Bay? Is that fair to say, that that would 20 help the nutrient loading, if that centrate didn't have to get funneled back into the plants? That 21 would be a fair statement? 22 23 COMMISSIONER WARD: Yes. In a sense what you've raised, Councilman, is the next 24

generation of wastewater treatment, and our BNR

Program, that Al Lopez can tell you about, is in
 fact our next major capital upgrade for dealing with
 nutrient loading.

5 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Just so I can 6 complete my thoughts so-to-speak, to give you the 7 benefit of responding to my whole concept such as it 8 is?

9 And, so, you know, one thought is 10 that, well, if that centrate didn't have to get fed into these plants, then maybe it could go some place 11 else or whatever, I don't know, into these other 12 plants that would discharge it some place else. I 13 14 don't know what kind of volume we're talking about 15 of the centrate, or what the quality of the stuff 16 is, but I know that for example we're capping the landfills. We're going to put some membrane line 17 18 over the landfill and we're going to put a whole 19 bunch of dirt or whatever it is over that and we're 20 going to create this natural area.

21 Would it be possible to use this 22 centrate to sort of disseminate it over the capped 23 area of the landfills, such that the centrate would 24 sort of almost be beneficially reused for the 25 purposes of nourishing the plant life that would be,

you know, growing on top of the landfill, maybe if 2 we made the layer of dirt like a little thicker, so 3 we could like better assimilate this stuff? Or, I 4 don't know, I'm just trying to think outside the box 5 a little bit, because I see this centrate getting 6 sort of wrung out of the sludge and I'm just 7 wondering if any of these concepts will make any 8 sense to figure. Because if it should be a goal to 9 10 keep the centrates kind of out of the plants that feed into Jamaica Bay, if that is like a worthwhile 11 12 goal, then it could either go some place else or we 13 could find something creative to kind of do with it. 14 And we're certainly beneficially reusing the solid part of the sludge, and I'm just thinking in my 15 16 dream of dreams if we could do something beneficial with the centrate and have those nutrients used for 17 18 good like in this landfill cover, rather than for 19 evil so-to-speak, in the water. 20 COMMISSIONER WARD: Right. 21 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: So, that's my

22 concept.

23 COMMISSIONER WARD: Okay. Well, your
24 concept is a good one, and it's one that DEP has
25 demonstrated particularly on Staten Island where we

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 have created our Blue Belt Program where we are 2 3 using natural restoration ways of dealing with storm overflow, so we're not having to build large 4 combined sewer systems to capture that runoff 5 б problem. 7 But that is really a storm sewer solution, and it's been very successful and we'll be 8 back actually to the Council to talk about the 9 10 Midland Beach initiatives going forward. Al Lopez will go through why for 11 Pennsylvania and Penn and Fountain the nutrient-rich 12 aspect of this centrate and the pathogens that are 13 14 associated with it is really not appropriate for 15 that type of reuse strategy, but we are addressing 16 the discharge issues through our BNR program, and Al can give you a sense of both in terms of as you had 17 18 asked, the volume, and then the size and scope of 19 that program. 20 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay. 21 You can't spread the stuff out on the 22 thing, it's not going to work. 23 MR. LOPEZ: No. Essentially what we're talking about is a liquid material, the centrate is 24

25 a liquid. It contains a pretty good amount of

2 contaminants and pathogens and, so, it requires 3 treatment, it's not something you would want to put 4 on the landfill. So, it makes sense actually to 5 bring it back to the head of the plant and treat it 6 on site.

7 The volumes we're talking about for 8 26th Ward, for instance, are about 1 million 9 gallons, that's well within what the plant can 10 treat. It's 1 million gallons a day, well within 11 what the plant can treat and handle within the 12 process.

13 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Well, certainly 14 if you can handle it, but certainly if it didn't 15 have to handle that, you know, the nutrient load of 16 the effluent would be less than it is now, 17 obviously.

18 MR. LOPEZ: Right. But essentially 19 it's still a million gallons that if we wanted to 20 move somewhere else would be very difficult to move, 21 number one, very difficult, very expensive, and just 22 from a programmatic point of view, it makes sense to 23 treat it on-site.

24 So, our approach is to take the 25 material where it is produced, treat it on site,

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 neutralize it, if you will, on site through the 2 treatment process, rather than moving it somewhere 3 4 else. Moving it to the landfill, it's a 5 б liquid material against transportation, it would be an issue, but aside from that it still has 7 contaminants and pathogens which would not make it 8 appropriate for landfill application. 9 10 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I see. I see. Now, is it the case that -- so, if we have to handle 11 and treat the stuff here, is it the case that any 12 13 sludge or centrate or whatever, that's like not 14 generated in sort of like the Jamaica Bay area, sort of finds its way into that? 15 16 I mean, it's one thing if we've got 17 to deal with the sludge and everything that's like 18 produced locally by these plants, but we're not like 19 importing anything, are we? 20 COMMISSIONER WARD: No, absolutely 21 not. 22 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay. 23 COMMISSIONER WARD: I want to follow up. We do have a very aggressive beneficial reuse 24

25 program and where we are taking the sludge, whether

it's composting, whether it's for a clean cover and 2 the like. But one of the things that I maybe didn't 3 get across in my testimony as well as I should, the 4 5 whole nitrogen program is the next phase of these б plant upgrades to deal with this issue. And out of a 7 \$400 million plus program for both of these facilities, about two-thirds of that will be the 8 next level of treatment. 9 10 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I heard that, I 11 was just trying to make it easier on you. 12 COMMISSIONER WARD: Okay, sorry. 13 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I was just 14 trying to --COMMISSIONER WARD: When you spend 15 16 \$400 million you like to tell people about it. 17 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: No, I heard. I 18 just thought maybe there was another -- sometimes 19 there's an easy way out sometimes. But we certainly 20 have to do that, even if we could find something 21 else to do with the centrate, certainly we'd have to 22 do that nutrient removal anyway and not just 23 proceed. I just had a thought, that's all. 24 We've certainly covered the -- I guess to a certain extent you've spoken to this in 25

2 your testimony, when we talk about large scale 3 development projects that are kind of going around 4 the bay or whatever, there's always concern for--5 we've talked about the points, you know, runoff and 6 so on, I guess you've spoken to it to some extent 7 because you're putting in storm drains and so on and 8 whatever?

9 COMMISSIONER WARD: Yes, I talked 10 about the \$100 million upgrade for the storm sewer 11 and the sanitary sewer. I think one of the other 12 things in addition to the combined sewer overflow, 13 Paerdegat Basin in particular, often coming back to 14 us in community issues about if there is development in this community, the size and extent of that 15 16 development, do we have an appropriate envelope to 17 handle, say, for example, a large residential 18 development out there. I think one of the things the 19 Department prides itself on is that the size and 20 scope of the facilities we have are in fact capable 21 of addressing, you know, potential residential 22 development in the Rockaways, or I think everyone is 23 well aware of the Arverne Project, that we have a design capacity of about 45 million gallons a day 24 and our current capacity is about 23. That's not to 25

2 say that there is obviously a significant amount of infrastructure work that would need to take place 3 4 when you're accommodating that type of growth, but we've always recognized that plant size, wastewater, 5 б CSO, storm sewer and sanitary sewer needs to reflect 7 those sort of changing and growing dynamics in any community, but obviously this one as well, which 8 many people talk about being as the next large 9 10 residential development within the City. 11 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you. 12 With regard to the landfill remediation, you know, do we know when the capping 13 14 is going to be complete? And I'm curious as to --COMMISSIONER WARD: Yes, we're working 15 16 right now. Actually, that contract, I was just 17 asking John, the contract was just let and we've 18 just started that work and Penn is 2006 and Fountain 19 is 2007. But that work is just now starting. 20 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: So it will be 21 capped by then? 22 COMMISSIONER WARD: Yes. What I 23 alluded to in my testimony is not only will it be 24 capped, but working with Gateway and the National Park Service, we have a real partnership to restore 25

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 2 to a way that would allow passive public access 3 within the Gateway framework. CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right. 4 COMMISSIONER WARD: It's a beautiful 5 б location. It gives you a majestic view of the bay, 7 and, so, it's not only capping, it's greening potential paths and the like. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Sure. 10 COMMISSIONER WARD: So, it is a long 11 time frame. They were there for a long time, and it 12 will take about three to four years each to complete 13 that. 14 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: How much leachate is currently -- do we have some handle on 15 16 how much leachate is kind of working its way into 17 the Jamaica Bay ecosystem? 18 COMMISSIONER WARD: You know, there 19 have been numbers thrown around that are like 20 upwards of a million gallons. 21 I don't know the exact number and I'll have to get back to you on that, but that 22 23 number is so disproportionate, we're talking in a 24 hundredth of that in terms of leachate. So, we'll 25 get back to you with a definite answer on that. I

1 COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 2 wasn't prepared to give you --3 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: The hundredth? I don't understand. 4 5 COMMISSIONER WARD: There's been a lot б of speculation about what in fact --7 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: As to how much 8 leachate --9 COMMISSIONER WARD: -- Gets out. 10 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right. 11 COMMISSIONER WARD: And there have been people in community meetings who talked about a 12 million gallons, you know. 13 14 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Like per day of 15 leachate? 16 COMMISSIONER WARD: Yes. And that is 17 so off the scale for what would be occurring at that 18 location. I need to get back to give you --19 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: And certainly 20 whatever it is, once it's capped, I mean that will 21 be the way to speak to that. 22 This is a question I'm going to ask 23 all the witnesses; what do you think of turning this 24 place into a national park? What do you say? We 25 should do that, right? You like it?

2 COMMISSIONER WARD: Turning all of 3 Jamaica Bay --CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: The Gateway, the 4 5 whole thing, it's part of the national park system б now, let's make it a national park. 7 COMMISSIONER WARD: My answer to that question, Councilman, is I leave it to the political 8 wisdom of the elected leaders to work through that 9 10 issue. 11 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: It's just 12 something I got kind of excited about when I was out there. It certainly is part of the national park 13 system, but the whole notion of having a full 14 fledged national park within New York City, I just 15 16 got excited about it. COMMISSIONER WARD: I think one of the 17 18 things the City of New York should recognize is the 19 extent that the National Park Service is involved 20 already. I mean, Gateway is in many respects Jamaica 21 Bay, and Gateway extends also over into Staten 22 Island. So, Gateway, in terms of what an ecology of 23 Jamaica Bay within an urban setting is from a 24 National Park Service is a majestic achievement

25 already.

13

2 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you for endorsing the concept of turning this into a 3 4 national park, I appreciate that. That's great. Thank you very much, Commissioner. 5 б Thanks for your good testimony. Thanks for all your 7 great work. 8 Every time we had an issue, you have, you know, hundreds of millions of dollars are being 9 10 directed in that area, so thanks very much. 11 And our next witness is Alexander 12 Brash of the Urban Parks Service of the New York

City Department of Parks and Recreation.

14 Thank you, Mr. Brash. Thank you for coming. I know that everyone, we've kind of heard 15 16 the story of Jamaica Bay, and the Commissioner took 17 us through the charts and so on, and to the extent 18 that in your testimony there's no need to kind of 19 retail what's been told already, so of course you've 20 got your specific value added that you bring to this 21 process, and your thoughts on areas that weren't 22 covered by the Commissioner. I just want to thank you both for coming, and Donna will administer the 23 24 oath and then ask you to state your name for the record and proceed with your testimony. 25

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 2 MS. DeCOSTANZO: Please raise your right hand. 3 In the testimony that you're about to 4 give, do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the 5 whole truth and nothing but the truth? б 7 MR. BRASH: I do. MS. DeCOSTANZO: Thank you. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you very 10 much. 11 Please state your name for the record and proceed with your testimony. And to work the 12 microphones, as you probably know, when the light is 13 14 off, the microphone is on, and you have to speak right into the microphone, just like I'm doing, and 15 16 then we'll be able to hear you well. 17 MR. BRASH: Terrific. 18 Good morning, Mr. Chairman, and 19 members of the Environmental Protection Committee. 20 My name is Alexander Brash, and I am the Chief of the New York City's Park and Recreation's urban park 21 service, and with me today is also Mike Feller, our 22 Senior Scientist from Natural Resource Group. 23 On behalf of Commissioner Benepe, I'm 24 25 pleased to be here to discuss environmental issues

concerning the future of Jamaica Bay. New York City 2 3 Parks and Recreation, through the work of our Natural Resource Group and the Urban Park Rangers, 4 have long been tirelessly working to restore and 5 preserve New York City's remaining ecosystems. б Established in 1984, the Natural 7 Resource Group was responsible for the acquisition, 8 restoration and management of the City's natural 9 10 parkland. NRG works with federal, state and other agencies, as well as a multitude of non-profit 11 12 organizations to ensure the proper repair and ongoing maintenance of such natural areas in the 13 14 City.

15 The Urban Park Rangers were launched 16 in 1979 and in order to be the City's visible uniformed stewards of its parklands, the Rangers 17 18 patrol most of the City's larger parks. They enforce 19 the rules and regulations, the protect its wildlife 20 and plants, and they simultaneously educate the 21 public about their proper use. 22 As you may know, and many of you

23 know, Jamaica, the largest wildlife preserve in the 24 City, was once New York City parks land. It was 25 given to the federal government in the early

seventies during the depths of the City's financial 2 crisis. Along with Golden Gate Park in San 3 Francisco, Jamaica Bay became the first federal 4 holding of a national urban parkland. Though the 5 Jamaica Bay Wildlife Refuge is part of the 26,000 б 7 Gateway national recreation area, our agency is responsible for much of the beach to the south on 8 Rockaway and many of the surrounding wetlands along 9 10 the tributaries to the north on the Belt Parkway, which you may see on the map behind. 11

12 In essence, Gateway national areas,13 in this area Jamaica Bay, New York City parks takes14 care of the beach.

15 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Pardon me, but 16 you've got to speak into the microphone, otherwise 17 it won't be recorded, because all of this is being 18 recorded for the record, and so the Court 19 Stenographer listens to the audiotapes, that's how 20 it gets done.

21 MR. BRASH: As you may see, the New 22 York City Parks Department takes care of the beach 23 on the south side of Rockaway, and then all of the 24 green spaces north of the Belt Parkway surrounding 25 Jamaica Bay.

Before the first Earth Day in 1970,
few City planners understood the importance of
wetlands. They do not realize the great number of
plants, invertebrates, fish and bird species all
depend upon the salt marshes for food, resting areas
and breeding sites.

8 They did not know that the bay itself 9 was a beneficial engineering component of New York 10 City.

11 The bay's configuration prevented 12 waves from eroding the shoreline in Brooklyn and 13 Queens, provided a great number of recreational 14 opportunity to the area residents, and helped filter 15 toxic waste from polluted waters.

Among other environmental issues
facing Jamaica Bay in the course of the last decade,
it has become apparent that the salt marshes are
shrinking.
The marsh islands have shrunk in size

21 by nearly 12 percent and a vegetated cover has 22 decreased by as much as 38 percent. 23 The theories regarding the causes 24 behind these losses include global warming, the

25 ongoing affects of channel dredging, wave

2 refractions generated by the bulkhead and the

3 effects of recreational boating.

4 Our role. As ecosystems are never 5 contained by the human derived boundaries, such as 6 park perimeters, county lines or other such 7 political demarcations, Parks has long worked with a 8 great number of partners in order to ensure the 9 long-term integrity of the City's parks and other 10 natural areas.

With respect to Jamaica Bay, parks is committed to working with the scientists, non-profit groups, elected officials, corporate partners, and others to identify what is causing marsh erosion and to prevent further damage in the bay.

Protecting our urban environment
becomes all the more important as the expanding
metropolitan area consumes more open land along the
periphery.

20 Parks has been and will continue to 21 work closely with the National Parks Service, the 22 Army Corps of Engineers, the City's Department of 23 Environmental Protection, New York State's 24 Department of Environmental Conservation, as well as 25 its Department of State, the Environmental

2 Protection Agency, the National Audubon Society, the 3 Trust for Public Land, local community groups, and 4 the elected officials, in order to help safeguard 5 Jamaica Bay.

6 Commissioner Benepe recently attended 7 a conference on Jamaica Bay sponsored by the Gateway 8 National Recreation area and the Brooklyn College 9 Aquatic Research and Environmental Assessment 10 Center.

And this past week I attended a
conference at Columbia University where the plight
of the bay was discussed.

14 Conferences, papers and hearings such 15 as this are all key to educating the public about 16 the issues, maintaining an open dialogue among all interested parties, and helping to assemble the 17 18 fragments of information ultimately needed to create 19 a management plan for the bay's future. 20 Since the launch of the Buffer of the Bay Program in 1987, Parks has acquired nearly 300 21 22 acres of land on the edges of Jamaica Bay, and maintains and enhances these parks. 23

24 By restoring the wetland areas along 25 the tributaries that surround the bay, we will

2 proactively repair the parks under our care, while 3 we're also helping to protect the Corps, the Jamaica 4 Bay Wildlife Refuge.

Some of the projects that parks are 5 б actively working on at the moment include the 7 following, and they are shown behind me on the map. Four Sparrow Salt Marsh is a 67-acre 8 marsh which was recently cleaned out and restored. 9 10 Before this restoration, phragmites covered nearly three acres in the center of the marsh, the area was 11 a dumping ground for more than 5,000 cubic yards of 12 old auto batteries, and debris and garbage clogged 13 14 the channels.

15 That's all been cleaned out, marsh 16 grasses and some upland shrubs will now be planted 17 this forthcoming spring.

18 In the fall of 2003, the grasslands 19 at Gerritsen Creek in Marine Park will be restored 20 with funding from the City, State and a three to one 21 match from the Army Corps of Engineers.

22 An old landfill currently covered 23 with phragmites will be excavated and converted into 24 11 acres of maritime grassland and 19 acres of tidal 25 wetland.

2 Starting in Year 2005, Parks will restore the 90-acre White Island in the core of the 3 Marine Park, and recover it with upland grasses 4 characteristic of neighboring Floyd Bennett Field. 5 The restoration of grassland at 6 7 Spring Creek, scheduled to begin also in 2005 is still in its preliminary design phases, but at the 8 moment we are planning a 30-acre restoration, 15 9 10 acres of coastal upland and 15 additional acres of tidal wetland. 11

Parks and the Department of Environmental Protection are working closely to design a 40-acre restoration at Paerdegat Basin that will include again both tidal marshes and associated uplands.

We are planning a smaller restoration We are planning a smaller restoration down at Vernon Barbadoes on the south side of Jamaica Bay to compensate for their two-acre loss of salt marsh habitat over the past eight years. We will be expanding this area from a one-acre salt marsh to four acres.

Down on Rockaway Beach, the Urban
Park Rangers are protecting a piping plover and
least tern colony for the past five years, and it is

now probably the largest and most productive colony
 of its kind on Long Island.

This past year 14 pairs of endangered 4 piping plovers, eight pairs of American Oyster 5 б Catchers, one pair of Common Terns and roughly 120 7 pairs of Least Terms successfully nested there. 8 Finally, with funding from the New York State Environmental Protection Fund in the 9 10 City, we're going public with our Forever Wild Program, and in this project we will install more 11 12 signage demarcating the agency's Forever Wild sites, 13 delineate and post a set of standardized rules 14 governing these sites and place fences around 15 particularly ecologically sensitive areas. 16 Parks is also protecting Jamaica Bay 17 through its environmental education programs. By 18 increasing public awareness of the importance of 19 salt marshes and wetlands we hope to foster a sense 20 of stewardship in the community. 21 Our urban park rangers provide a 22 variety of school programs and other programs for families and visitors in the cities, and these 23

24 include the natural classrooms, which is a series of 25 programs created in collaboration with the National

Geographics Society, the new Department of Education
 and the Park Rangers.

4 Using our parks as classrooms, the 5 rangers and teachers can pick from a variety of 6 topics, including botany, ecology, ornithology, 7 native American history and conservation.

8 The Rangers also run a Ranger 9 Conservation Corps. This is an after school program 10 aimed at high schoolers, and involves opportunities 11 for hands-on wildlife management and conservation, 12 and any of our 12 nature centers Citywide.

The Rangers offer Explorer Programs. These are weekend and evening programs that are really aimed at families and adults and they offer an opportunity of active recreation in our parks, including such things as canoeing, camping, hiking, cycling, fishing.

And, finally, we recently opened theSalt Marsh Nature Center in Marine Park, down in thebottom left corner of our map.

This beautiful facility, located on
Gerritsen Creek and Avenue U offers exhibits and
programs.

25 More than 45,000 people visited this

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 center last year to specifically learn about and 2 3 explore the Jamaica Bay ecosystem, its salt marshes and learn in addition about migratory birds and 4 conservation. 5 Therefore, for obvious reasons parks 6 7 has always been and will continue to be concerned about the issues that confront the fragile 8 ecological webs that hold together each of the 9 10 remaining ecosystems in New York City. Jamaica Bay's disappearing marshes 11 are a symptom of some of these issues. 12 13 Given the importance of the bay to 14 New York City's environment, we are committed to not only prevent further damage, but more so to restore 15 16 as many surrounding wetland areas as possible. 17 All parks, whether under federal, 18 state or City jurisdiction, are important assets 19 that we are entrusted to protect. 20 Through our environmental programming, the Parks Urban Park Rangers hope to 21 22 educate the public about the value of wetlands, and instill in them and instill in future generations a 23 responsibility to protect them. At Parks, our 24 25 natural resource group is committed to protecting,

2 preserving, and if possible, improving every piece
3 every piece of nature that we hold in trust for all
4 future New Yorkers.

5 Through restoration and education the 6 Parks Department will continue to protect Jamaica 7 Bay and all other fragile ecosystems in the City. 8 Thank you.

9 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Mr. Brash, thank 10 you very much. I appreciate your testimony and all 11 the good work that Parks is doing. Please give my 12 best to Commissioner Benepe.

Now, the total number of acreage of restoration projects that Parks has going on now, this is a considerable amount of acreage, correct? If I mean, do we have the sum total of the number of restored acres that --

18 MR. BRASH: I believe, and I'll ask 19 Mr. Feller to correct me, I believe on the order 20 we've acquired 300, we're in the order of working non-rehabilitative restoring roughly another 600 or 21 22 700 in the properties that surround the bay. 23 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: That's got to be a terrific benefit. Do you have any sense of what 24 the impact on water quality enhancement is going to 25

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 be, or is that in your job to assess that? 2 3 MR. FELLER: For the quality --CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: You've got to 4 speak right into the microphone, just like I'm 5 doing. You've got to speak right into the б 7 microphone. 8 MR. FELLER: We are monitoring before and after conditions with the restoration in the 9 10 tributaries. Primarily the benefits will be on the order of habitat improvement certainly will be water 11 quality improvement, but slight increments relative 12 13 to DEP's CSO abatement --14 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Sure. 15 MR. BRASH: Most of our monitoring 16 work has really been with vegetation and the terrestrial animals in the area. 17 18 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right. 19 MR. BRASH: And for those we have 20 different protocols, some developed, for instance with, in conjunction with the HEP, the Hudson River 21 Estuary Program, the HEP Program, which looks at 22 plants and salt marshes. Some, for instance, are 23 simply done with partners, such as the annual, 24 25 Audubon does their annual Christmas count in bird

2 surveys, which give us an indication of what's going
3 on.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Do you meet with 4 the folks from the National Park Service on some 5 sort of like regular basis, or some sort of like б ongoing cooperation? Or is it the relationship 7 between you and the Gateway folks? Is it based on, 8 you know, is it like project specific? Is it like 9 10 some sort of ongoing relationship where you meet on a regular basis? Or like what's the nature of the 11 interaction between you folks --12

MR. BRASH: All of the above. Green 13 14 people often spend a lot of time together. We certainly attend, for instance, many of the 15 16 conferences that are given. There's a whole series of different workshops that people get involved in 17 18 over the course of time and we certainly communicate 19 very well on any specific details that have come up. 20 For instance, both Mike and the Commissioner attended the recent conference only a couple of 21 22 weeks ago. 23 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Great. Well, 24 thanks.

25 National park, yes?

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 2 MR. BRASH: We believe it already is a national park in the core. 3 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay. I want 4 that. Okay. Thank you for your endorsement, I 5 б appreciate it. 7 Thanks very much for your great work, 8 and please give my best regard to the Commissioner. 9 And now Mark Matsell has moved on, 10 right? MR. BRASH: Mark Matsell is taking 11 care of many of the same issues in the fair state to 12 13 the south. 14 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay. Good luck to him as well. Okay, thank you. Thank you very 15 16 much. 17 Okay, Councilman Weiner, who had 18 intended to be here with us, unfortunately is not 19 able to join us, what we do have is Chief of Staff 20 Veronica Sullivan, who not only is Anthony's Chief 21 of Staff, but is a friend of mine. And, so, Veronica, it's my understanding, is going to read a 22 statement from the Congressman? 23 24 MS. SULLIVAN: I am, yes. 25 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: And after that

1 COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION we are going to have Billy Garrett from Gateway and 2 the Gateway presentation. So they will be next. And 3 after Gateway will be the Army Corps. 4 MS. SULLIVAN: Congressman Weiner is 5 sorry that he could not be here today, so allow me б 7 to read his statement. 8 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Sure. State your name for the record. 9 10 MS. SULLIVAN: Veronica Sullivan, Chief of Staff for Congressman Anthony Weiner. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Welcome. 13 MS. SULLIVAN: Thank you. 14 Thank you, Mr. Chairman and members 15 of the Committee for this opportunity to testify on 16 the critically important issue of the disappearing Salt Marshes in Jamaica Bay. 17 18 I am proud to be here today, not only 19 because I am heartened to see the Council on which I 20 once served focusing its energies on this issue, but also because today's hearing is further proof that 21 when private citizens commit themselves to making a 22 difference, they can spur action at every level of 23 government from City Council to Congress. 24 25 The fact is the Salt Marshes in

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 Jamaica Bay have been disappearing for years, but 2 the Park Service, as well as City and State 3 environmental officials did not see it. That is, 4 until a group of concerned citizens led by Dan 5 Mundy, and known as the Jamaica Bay EcoWatchers 6 shone the light on this crisis in a manner that 7 could not be ignored. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: These guys are 10 the heroes, right? They're the hero here. MS SULLIVAN: The EcoWatchers began to 11 12 notice something was wrong in the bay in the mid-1990s, and slowly but surely they began to 13 14 collect data to back up their observations. 15 Once they thought that they had built 16 a strong case, they shared this information with government officials. Unfortunately their claims 17 18 were largely ignored. 19 These setbacks, however, only 20 strengthened their resolve to save this natural 21 resource from eventual destruction. 22 They continued to collect data, and in the late 2000, efforts were finally rewarded when 23 the State Department of Environmental Conservation 24 25 decided to conduct a study to explore if there was

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 any merit to the EcoWatchers' concerns. 2 The DEC study confirmed a loss of 3 more than 800 acres of wetlands since 1974 and 4 predicted that the total disappearance would occur 5 by 20/20 if nothing was done. б 7 The study, as a result of the persistence of these concerned citizens, galvanized 8 the entire community to action. 9 I worked with officials and Gateway 10 National Recreation Area and the National Park 11 Service to assemble a Blue Ribbon Panel of experts 12 to analyze the problem and develop possible 13 14 solutions to save the wetlands. 15 The panel confirmed the accelerating 16 erosion, called for studies to determine the best fixes to the problem, and recommended pilot projects 17 18 to begin to restore some of the habitat until they 19 were able to find a long-term solution. 20 Many members of this panel met again 21 just last week to provide updates on their findings. 22 They identified additional causes of marsh eroding and destruction, such as the feeding 23 of birds on marsh grasses and made recommendations 24 25 for further studies.

2 Perhaps more important, the Park Service disclosed that we were poised to remove 3 beyond just studying the issue, and in the early 4 part of 2003, we will begin some targeted 5 б reconstruction projects to test strategies, to not 7 only halt the deterioration of the marshes, but also to bring them back stronger than ever. 8 9 These initial studies were made

10 possible by \$150,000 that was secured by the State, 11 and as I was pleased to work with Gateway to help 12 secure \$598,000 grant from the Park Service to 13 complete the needed studies and fund some of the 14 reconstruction efforts.

15 Our progress has been great but is 16 clearly not enough. That is why we must act fast and as a cohesive group to save this unique ecosystem. 17 18 The science community, which is 19 represented on today's panel needs to do more. They 20 were essential to confirming that a problem exists and to identifying the causes of that problem. But 21 22 they must also be willing to help identify the solutions. 23

And while it is important not to rush into the restoration phase, the fact is that

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 scientists in their desire to be thorough can 2 sometimes study things to death. 3 Since we not only have a limited time 4 before it is too late to save the marshes, we must 5 ask the science committee to expedite the б 7 recommendations with the utmost urgency. 8 The community groups need to do more. They are the engine that has driven the process, and 9 10 we need them to keep up their efforts to sustain our 11 momentum. 12 In addition, the expertise of citizen groups who have spent decades observing the bay 13 14 firsthand will be critical. 15 Finally, the government at all levels 16 needs to do more. The \$748,000 in resources we have brought to this project thus far are just a drop in 17 18 the bucket. 19 Therefore, the federal, state and 20 city governments must all be prepared to contribute additional resources for this worthy cause because 21 22 they are each an integral part of the solution. 23 We also need to be prepared to transition smoothly from the study phase to the 24 25 restoration phase of this project.

As I mentioned earlier, this spring will mark the beginning of a sample restoration project, and it is our duty to ensure that these projects start on schedule.

The many years of neglect in the bay 6 7 have left us no choice but to move quickly. Jamaica Bay is a unique ecological resource. It serves as 8 the cornerstone of an estuary habitat, with only one 9 10 habitat of its kind in existence and it is the nationally significant migrating bird resource. 11 12 Maintaining the bay is also an issue of national and international concern. Cities all 13 14 over the world are trying to preserve natural habitat in the face of expanding urbanization. 15 16 People across the world are looking to Jamaica Bay as a test case for how we balance the 17 18 preservation of environmental resources with 19 economic growth. 20 The Jamaica Bay EcoWatchers recognize

25 The commuted bay Beomatcherb recognize 21 the enormous importance that these wetlands play in 22 New York City, and if not for them the wetlands 23 might have faded away without our knowledge, taking 24 a precious resource from the State of New York. 25 Their perseverance must be matched in

1 COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION full, lest we discourage citizens for taking an 2 active role in helping their communities in the 3 4 future. We must not let this important 5 national treasure be destroyed. б 7 Thank you. 8 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Veronica, thank you very much. And I think we talk about Dan being a 9 10 hero here, but I think clearly Anthony has been a hero on this issue and we thank him for all of his 11 great work. He's really helped catalyze a lot of the 12 13 action. 14 MS. SULLIVAN: It's something he cares 15 very much about, yes. 16 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Pardon? 17 MS. SULLIVAN: It's something he cares 18 very much about. 19 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yes. And I've 20 seen him for the last couple of nights, as well. And he goes, oh, you've got the hearing coming up, it's 21 22 good. You know the way he is. 23 MS. SULLIVAN: He's very sorry he couldn't be here. 24 25 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I just saw him

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 last night, and he goes, oh, I've got this funeral 2 and I'm going to really try to be there, I'm so 3 concerned about the issue, so he's very energized 4 about it, as he is about a lot of very good issues, 5 б and we thank him for his leadership. And we thank 7 you for coming here and sharing Anthony's views with us, and for your friendship. 8 9 MS. SULLIVAN: You're welcome. 10 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I appreciate it. MS. SULLIVAN: Thank you. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Please give Anthony our collective best, okay? Thank you. 13 14 And now we have our next witness, 15 Billy Garrett, from the Gateway National Recreation 16 Area. 17 You didn't write your precise title 18 down here, but Superintendent. Superintendent of the 19 Jamaica Bay Unit of Gateway National Recreation 20 Area. 21 Mr. Garrett, thanks for joining us 22 today, and I want a special thank you to all of the folks from Gateway without whose good work, God 23 knows what condition Jamaica Bay, the marshes would 24 25 be in, so I want to thank you in a special way for

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 making arrangements for the tour that we took the 2 other day and I was very grateful to be able to see 3 everything firsthand. So, I'd like to thank you very 4 much for coming, and Donna will proceed with the 5 oath, and then you can state your name for the б record and deliver your testimony. 7 8 MS. DeCOSTANZO: Please raise your right hand. 9 10 In the testimony that you're about to give, do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the 11 whole truth and nothing but the truth? 12 13 MR. GARRETT: I do. 14 MS. DeCOSTANZO: Thank you. 15 MR. GARRETT: My name is Billy 16 Garrett. I'm the Superintendent of the Jamaica Bay Unit of Gateway National Recreation Area. 17 18 Mr. Chairman, on behalf of the 19 National Park Service, I would like to thank you for 20 this opportunity to discuss the issue of wetland 21 loss within Jamaica Bay. 22 My comments will focus on four points: First, the role of the National Park Service 23 issue; second, our understanding of the problem; 24 25 third, what is being done to address the problem;

2 and, fourth, what we believe will be needed over the 3 long term.

4 The mission of the National Park 5 Service is to preserve unimpaired the natural and 6 cultural resources and values of the National Park 7 System for the enjoyment, education and inspiration 8 of this and future generations.

9 Our mission statement goes on to add 10 "The Park Service cooperates with partners to 11 extend the benefits of natural and cultural resource 12 conservation and outdoor recreation throughout this 13 country and the world."

14 The National Park System currently 15 contains more than 380 units ranging in size from 16 hundreds of thousands of acres to less than one 17 acre.

In and around New York harbor, the national park service manages such well known icons as the Statue of Liberty and Ellis Island, six historic sites, including Federal Hall and Hamilton Grange, and Gateway National Recreation Area. Gateway was established 30 years ago as this country's first national urban park. The recreation area encompasses more than 26,000 acres

2 in Sandy Hook New Jersey, Staten Island, Brooklyn 3 and Queens.

4 The Brooklyn and Queens part of the 5 park is managed as a single entity we call the 6 Jamaica Bay Unit.

7 The Jamaica Bay Unit takes in 18,560 8 acres, of which approximately two-thirds are aquatic 9 in nature. Most of the islands, waters and submerged 10 lands of Jamaica Bay are located within the boundary 11 of the national recreation area.

Although Gateway is designated as a recreation area, we're subject to the same basic laws, policies and regulations that apply to all units of the National Park System.

This means we are responsible for two fundamental objectives. First, we must protect the significant natural and cultural resources that are located within the park, second, we must create high-quality opportunities for people to enjoy the park without impairing the resources that make Gateway a special place.

With respect to the responsibility
for stewardship of Jamaica Bay, the parks enabling
legislation is even more explicit. The Act states,

2 the Secretary of the Interior, shall administer and 3 protect the islands and waters within the Jamaica 4 Bay Unit with the primary aim of conserving the 5 natural resources, fish and wildlife located therein 6 and shall permit no development or use of this area 7 which is incompatible with this purpose.

8 Consistent with this mandate, park management has issued a set of seven objectives to 9 10 guide protection, enhancement and use of the Jamaica Bay ecosystem. Formalized in late fall of 2000, the 11 12 objectives address inventory and monitoring, water quality, toxic materials, habitat and bio-diversity, 13 14 education, recreation and public involvement, and a 15 copy of that document is included in the binder that you have. 16

17 In December of 2000, parks management 18 first heard that wetland loss could be a serious 19 problem within Jamaica Bay. Prior to that time, most 20 park staff had thought that losses of wetlands were 21 a natural phenomenon and were being offset by growth 22 of new marsh in other parts of the bay.

However, after talking with Dan Mundy
of the Jamaica Bay EcoWatchers and seeing GIS maps
prepared by the New York State Department of

2 Environmental Conservation, we felt that additional 3 information was needed about this condition and its 4 potential causes.

5 On May 1st of 2000, a Blue Ribbon 6 Panel was convened to consider the issue of wetland 7 loss.

8 The panel was made up of 12 scientists, all with extensive experience in various 9 10 aspects of wetland ecology or marine estuaries. In addition to reviewing evidence of 11 wetland loss, the panel discussed probable causes of 12 13 this condition and outlined a number of near-term 14 investigations that might help clarify the problem. The Blue Ribbon Panel concluded that 15 16 numerous interrelated factors are causing the loss of salt marshes in Jamaica Bay. They identified a 17 18 number of likely explanations, including changes in 19 sediment deposition, build up on wrack on the 20 marshes, contamination and so forth. You've heard a 21 number of those things already. 22 Research suggestions by the Blue Ribbon Panel closely followed their assessment of 23 the factors that were contributing to wetland 24

25 deterioration.

2 The report of the Blue Ribbon Panel provided insights into wetland dynamics and 3 direction for the park, but it also gave credence to 4 the issue of wetland loss in Jamaica Bay, and helped 5 б galvanize support for action to address the problem. 7 The strategy that has evolved in response to this issue is in my opinion, 8 comprehensive, well coordinated, and remarkably 9 10 expeditious, given the number and types of agencies and groups that are involved in the effort. 11 12 On one level the strategy involves a three-track approach narrowly focused on research, 13 14 protection of existing wetlands and restoration of graded or lost salt marsh. 15 O another level, the strategy seeks 16 to put the issue of wetland loss in a broader 17 18 context of resource stewardship and the interface 19 between urban and natural systems. 20 Project funding in support of this 21 effort has grown steadily over the past 18 months. 22 To begin with, Marc Koenings, General Superintendent of Gateway NRA, allocated \$50,000 out 23 of the general park budget to support the Blue 24 25 Ribbon Panel and initiate research.

2 That was followed by a grant of \$150,000 from the State of New York and recently by 3 the award of \$650,000 from the National Park Service 4 for wetland work over the next three years. 5 We are now in discussions with the б 7 Army Corps of Engineers, the New York City Department of Environmental Protection and the New 8 York State Department of Environmental Conservation 9 10 regarding a \$6.5 million marsh restoration project. Research projects currently underway 11 include evaluation of wrack, bird impacts, 12 13 contaminants and sediments. In addition to the involvement of 14 15 park staff and the agencies previously mentioned, 16 work is being done by Brooklyn College, Dowling College, Columbia University, Rutgers University, 17 18 the University of Rhode Island and the United States 19 Geological Survey. 20 As funds are appropriated and projects finalized, the list of participants in this 21 initiative will expand significantly. 22 23 As I mentioned earlier, our strategy is not limited to the wetlands themselves. Jamaica 24 25 Bay is a remarkably rich ecological system, situated

2 within the largest City within the United States.
3 Ultimately protection of Jamaica Bay and
4 conservation of its natural resources will depend
5 upon how well all of us understand the affect of
6 urban systems on the bay, and whether or not we
7 choose to promote environmental health through our
8 decisions and our actions.

9 As the federal trustee for most of
10 Jamaica Bay, the National Park Service should be an
11 advocate for strong environmental stewardship.

12 More important, we should lead by 13 example and help others understand the meaning of 14 environmental stewardship.

15 In this spirit, Gateway is developing 16 a greening plan that will evaluate all aspects of 17 park operations, and guide our efforts to eliminate 18 use of toxic materials, increase recycling and the 19 use of recycled products, reduce energy and water 20 consumption, and expand use of renewable energy 21 sources.

We have also established a center dedicated to promoting use of the park as a natural laboratory and outdoor classroom. One of 16 such learning centers being created by the National Park

Service, our center will specialize in urban
 ecology, and is referred to as the Jamaica Bay
 Institute.

5 Finally, we have taken the lead in 6 understanding the various plans, agency missions, 7 organizational initiatives, and personal dreams that 8 might affect some aspect of Jamaica Bay.

9 This project, which is currently 10 underway, will culminate in a Jamaica Bay report, 11 outlining what we share in common, where there are 12 differences and potential conflicts and how we might 13 move forward.

14 We are at the beginning of a long and 15 complex journey. We do not want to misstep through 16 ill-conceived or hasty action. However, stopping deterioration of the salt marsh in Jamaica Bay and 17 18 restoring portions that have been lost should be 19 pursued as aggressively as is prudent to do so this 20 year, next year and for many years to come. 21 Three variables will control the rate and effectiveness of this effort - funding, 22 scientific knowledge and broad-based public and 23 political support. 24

25 Saving the Jamaica Bay wetlands will

2 take lots of money.

Consider that the proposed Corps of 3 Engineers project will cost at least \$6.5 million to 4 restore several dozen acres of wetlands, and that 5 the rate of current wetland loss may be as much as б 7 45 acres per year. The sums needed can easily be extrapolated into hundreds of millions of dollars. 8 9 Sobering as this picture may be, 10 given our current economic climate and the state of all government budgets, consideration should also be 11 given to the long-term hard and soft cost associated 12 with continued loss of wetlands in the bay. 13 14 Research is also expensive. However, 15 if we are to minimize wrong turns and hope to find a 16 solution that maximizes natural processes, we will need a good scientific base upon which to proceed. 17 18 However, research need not be 19 conducted in a kind of academic vacuum. 20 We are dealing with real problems and scientific investigations should play a major role 21 in the design, implementation and monitoring of 22 pilot projects focused on restoration or protection. 23 Given the high cost and scientific 24 25 nature of this issue, continued public and political

2 support will be essential to its successful
3 resolution.

To date, the level and range of 4 support we have received is very encouraging. A 5 number of local and state elected officials have б 7 endorsed the effort and helped with initial funding. Governor Pataki, Assembly Member 8 Audrey Pheffer and Congressman Anthony Weiner have 9 10 been particularly noteworthy for their advocacy of this issue. 11

12 City agencies have also come together with their state and federal counterparts to get 13 14 projects moving. Environmental groups, neighborhood organizations, and concerned citizens have 15 16 contributed with ideas and expressions of interest. 17 In conclusion, the National Park 18 Service recognizes the seriousness of wetland laws 19 within Jamaica Bay, and as the federal trustee 20 responsible for much of the bay is committed to 21 leading a long-term effort to address this issue. 22 We believe that the wetlands initiative should be based on the best available 23 science, aggressively pursued and underpinned by 24 25 broad based support for environmentally sustainable

practices. I should add, we can't do this alone. 2 3 Much of Gateway National Recreation Area is located within New York City. 4 More importantly, the park contains a 5 number of historic landmarks and natural areas that 6 7 are an essential part of New York's heritage and 8 legacy. 9 The Jamaica Bay Unit may be part of a 10 proud federal institution, but we are also proud to be part of New York City. 11 12 We would welcome the opportunity to forge a common vision with you regarding the future 13 14 of Jamaica Bay, and to pursue that vision in the 15 interest of the wild things that live in the bay and 16 the millions of people who benefit from this special place, as a recreational venue, outdoor classroom 17 18 and quiet source of inspiration. 19 Thank you. 20 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Mr. Garrett. I appreciate your testimony and your hard 21 work, you know, and Dave Arvin and all the folks who 22 labor day-to-day on these very important issues. Oh, 23 right, and Dr. George Frame as well, and others. 24 25 Let me just, I made some notes during

your testimony, and it certainly is good to hear 2 about all of the good things that are taking place, 3 but we're all seeking I guess some ultimate 4 synthesis of all these efforts and to work 5 б cooperatively, and you made reference to the Jamaica 7 Bay report, so it's you, meaning the park service, that's been taking the lead in this, because I'm 8 reading from your statement, "this project underway 9 10 will culminate in the Jamaica Bay report," I guess outlining what all the stakeholders share and how we 11 12 might move forward.

13 I guess that's what I want to talk 14 about a little bit, sort of how, you know, we can 15 all best come together on this and synthesize all of 16 the good works and it's so nice that we have good works to be synthesized, right? You have DEP doing 17 18 their thing and Parks doing their thing, and various 19 elected officials and people from the scientific and 20 ecological communities. I mean, are you confident that we're all, that the level of cooperation and 21 synthesis is working as it should or do we have to 22 get to some other sort of higher level of 23 organization in order to make it work better? 24 25 If you could just speak to that for a

2 minute, if you could?

25 that first step.

3 MR. GARRETT: I've been particularly 4 struck by the goodwill that I believe permeates the 5 various agencies, the people that certainly I've 6 interacted with regarding Jamaica Bay and the 7 concern about the wetlands.

I think that there are times when 8 people are not clear about how their particular 9 10 agency mission relates to say the mission of the Natural Park Service or another agency's mission. 11 12 There also are times when projects get put together because of the way that particular 13 14 funding guidelines are established and so forth. There are, in some cases, some 15 16 differences of opinion about the, if you will, the scientific nature of the bay, and I believe that in 17 18 order to be really efficient about tackling this 19 problem, and I think people are saying there's 20 urgency here, and so we need to be able to do that, it is really important that we sit down and share 21 22 what it is that we believe we're responsible for in the bay, everybody that has an interest, and that's 23 really what this is intended to do, is to provide 24

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 2 We won't know whether we need another 3 layer, so to speak --CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right. 4 MR. GARRETT: I would comment also 5 that the process that we're using is exactly the б 7 same process that was used in South Florida, when the agencies there started to get together to look 8 at the problems with losses there. 9 10 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: So you're confident that we're following sort of like a good, 11 you know, paradigm so-to-speak. 12 13 MR. GARRETT: And we've got the same 14 people who led the issue in South Florida in the Everglades. So, I think we're on a good base to move 15 16 forward. 17 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: In other words, 18 so the project that will culminate in the Jamaica 19 Bay report will be sort of that all encompassing 20 document that says here's what we're doing here. 21 MR. GARRETT: Right. 22 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Here's all the various pieces of it, here's the science, the 23 various actors, and here's all the various 24 25 interests, and this is where we can sort of bring

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 2 this thing? MR. GARRETT: Correct. 3 We're not trying to do a plan at this 4 level. There's a lot of people that have been doing 5 plans so we need to see where they all fit and how б they fit together. 7 8 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right. 9 MR. GARRETT: And see where there's 10 commonality and where there are divergences. 11 One of the things that I would --12 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Who are the team 13 members of this whole thing? I mean, this is all 14 coming together, so I guess you've got the City and the feds and the state. 15 MR. GARRETT: Well, we've invited 16 people but not everybody understands yet how 17 18 critical this could be at a policy level, and, so, 19 we've been trying to get agencies, federal, state 20 and City, to participate. I would simply say if you and the Committee endorsed participation, that might 21 22 be helpful. 23 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: By all means, I mean I'd like to participate, you know. 24 25 MR. GARRETT: We'll see that you're

1 COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION invited. 2 3 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Now, how often 4 does --MR. GARRETT: The way that we're 5 б proceeding is through a series of workshops, and 7 actually we have another workshop for agencies set up on the 15th of this month, and then we'll be 8 moving on to organizations and other stakeholders 9 10 beginning in January. 11 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Oh, I see. So, it's kind of compartmentalized, you're talking with 12 agencies and you're talking about with other 13 14 stakeholders. 15 MR. GARRETT: Part of this is intended 16 to keep the size of the discussion, it's not to screen anybody out. We want to meet with all the 17 18 people who have things to say. 19 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right. 20 MR. GARRETT: Then gradually build a, 21 if you will, a matrix, a management matrix that shows what people are doing, or what agencies are 22 doing about different aspects of management in the 23 24 bay. 25 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: And this is

1 COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION funded, this is federally funded? 2 3 MR. GARRETT: The National Park 4 Service, yes. CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: And of course 5 the National Park Service is the lead on this? 6 7 MR. GARRETT: Correct. CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Terrific. 8 9 I'd like to recognize the presence of 10 Bill DeBlasio from Brooklyn, a member of the Committee. 11 MR. GARRETT: Mr. Chairman, if I could 12 just make one more point --13 14 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Oh, sure. 15 Please. 16 MR. GARRETT: -- Regarding the report. 17 Under tab 2 in your binder, the first 18 map that's shown there is an illustration of where 19 the park boundary is, where Jamaica Bay is, and 20 where the Jamaica Bay watershed is, and it's clear that the Jamaica Bay watershed extends into Brooklyn 21 and Queens, covers a large amount of urban area, and 22 I think the previous testimony that we had from --23 24 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: It also includes 25 my house.

1

2 MR. GARRETT: Great. As well as into Nassau County. We've 3 heard from DEP and Parks regarding their awareness 4 of the connection to the bay, but I think by 5 beginning to look at the bay as part of this larger б urban setting, it clearly suggests that there's 7 important linkage that needs to happen between the 8 9 federal government and the City. 10 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Sure. That's 11 clear. And thank you for this detailed document that 12 you gave us. And, so, let's just kind of walk 13 through the process a bit. 14 So, we're doing this Jamaica Bay 15 report, so when is your -- and I guess there are 16 sort of, you know, big milestones in the life of 17 this effort to kind of bring everybody together, and 18 what are the kind of critical dates by which you 19 expect to have some deliverable in terms of, okay, 20 we figured out this aspect of it, and this is when 21 we're going to have like the grand plan to move 22 forward; what's the timetable on that? 23 MR. GARRETT: Toward the end of spring 24 we should have a document out. 25 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Oh, I see.

2 MR. GARRETT: Yes, the first level of this is simply let's talk, and let's record what's 3 4 going on. 5 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right. MR. GARRETT: And we don't think that б that's particularly complicated if we get the right 7 people at the table, and then present the 8 9 information and that should then help us get some 10 idea about how to move forward. 11 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: And do you have 12 the local elected officials participating in this 13 effort? MR. GARRETT: I don't believe that 14 15 that is a formal part of the frame of reference, but 16 I'm open to suggestions. 17 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I mean, I know I 18 could help. 19 I know that when I talk to any of my 20 colleagues that represent a piece of this, whether it's on a city level or a state level, I mean we 21 would all like to help or whatever, and to the 22 extent that might be a thought, might be a thought 23 to either by, either because they represent a 24 25 geographic area that that's part of the system, or

they chair a committee that's critical to the issue 2 such as -- and you also happen to be blessed with 3 the -- the Parks Chairman of the Council lives right 4 5 there, the economic development chairman also represents a piece of the bay. You know, the youth б chairman represents a piece of the bay as well. So, 7 these are all people that I guess by geography and 8 9 by their committee chairmanship have some interest 10 in this.

11 MR. GARRETT: Certainly one of the 12 uses that I saw of this document was to take it out 13 to elected officials and to help perhaps in a fairly 14 concise way be able to present what lots of 15 different groups and agencies are doing.

16 I'm not sure anyone has a sense of 17 that whole picture.

18 The other thing that, as you and I 19 talked the other day when we were out in the bay, 20 I'm not sure that there is a shared common vision 21 that in a certain way works at a policy level that 22 serves as an umbrella, where all the individual 23 projects and programs can be entered.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: And that isabsolutely critical, because, you know, it's like if

2 you have an orchestra and people are all playing 3 notes, or whatever they are, certainly you're going 4 to get a sound, but it's not going to be quite what 5 you would expect.

6 It would be great if we could have a 7 symphony, and to the extent that we could get that 8 orchestration would be great, and this I see as a 9 critical piece of that puzzle.

10 Is there anything that we here at the 11 Council could do with regard to the problem of the marshland loss in Jamaica Bay? I know we've got the 12 13 City agencies working on that, I'm just trying to find some kind of constructive role for what it is 14 15 that we do. Certainly just this hearing and just the 16 trip the other day, we're calling attention to the 17 issue, making people more aware of it, anything that 18 we could -- I mean, I've got some

19 environmentally-oriented schools in my district and 20 PS 219 has a focus on the environment, Balan High 21 School (phonetic) has a focus on the environment, 22 I've got the Rachael Carson School in my district. 23 And, so, all these little pieces, maybe not big 24 pieces, I mean of course we had the DEP Commissioner 25 here, \$100 million here, \$500 million here, and all

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
 these valuable projects, but the local electeds
 could sort of select the hearts and minds aspect of
 it.

5 And if I could, let's talk for a bit 6 about the notion of this full fledged national park 7 status and to what extent has that been kicked 8 around?

9 MR. GARRETT: That actually, the first 10 time I think I heard that was at a meeting when 11 Commissioner Castro mentioned that she thought --12 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: For the State? 13 MR. GARRETT: Yes, for the State. She 14 just thought that it would be a whole lot easier for 15 people to understand that this was a national park, 16 not just little letters but also was something 17 terribly important and had a stewardship function if 18 the tidal was different.

19 Certainly, I mean the tradition of 20 the National Park Service is one of not only having 21 national parks but of identifying other units that 22 are still parks with special names.

I'm open to whatever it is Congress wants to do. I do think that it's important for the people of New York to know that we're here, and what

1 COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION our mission is, and if that's --2 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: How would that 3 work? How would something get to become a national 4 5 park? б MR. GARRETT: It is simply a matter of changing the existing legislation. As a matter of 7 fact, one of the recreation areas in Ohio, two years 8 9 ago, I believe, had their name changed to national 10 park. That was introduced by the local Congressman. 11 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Sure. 12 MR. GARRETT: So, it's certainly --13 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Certainly, you 14 know, symbolic things can give a big shot in the 15 arm, and, so, I'd like to be open to that as well. 16 MR. GARRETT: This is not an official 17 endorsement. It's something I can't officially 18 endorse. 19 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Oh, sure. Of 20 course. 21 MR. GARRETT: You know, you did ask 22 about what things the City might do, and I just would offer three particular points. One is, 23 continued support for the City agencies working on 24 25 projects that have to do with this. That's really

2 important.

I do think that coming out of the Jamaica Bay report, it would be helpful to consider the possibility of some kind of a formal, whether it's a resolution or a policy or something, that we can hang a number of references on.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: We could have a 8 9 hearing on the results of the Jamaica Bay report and 10 get everybody in here and make, you know, sort of 11 like a big whoop to do about it, and maybe that 12 could help as well. I mean, we've got, you know, like a little bit of a bully pulpit here. We invite 13 14 great people, they come, we've got television, we've 15 got reporters, we'd be happy to play that role. 16 And the timetable for that would be 17 spring; is that correct? 18 MR. GARRETT: Late spring, early 19 summer. 20 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay, so, we can 21 talk about that, and to the extent that members of this Committee, myself as chair of this institution 22 at large, meaning the Council, can be, you know, 23 helpful in navigating some of the issue that you 24 25 have with City government and please consider me and

2 the members of this Committee and my Committee
3 counsel and the staff, the resources for you, and,
4 so, I'd certainly like to play whatever helpful role
5 I could, and I thank you for your great service and
6 we'll be working together on this.

7 I'm glad we went in the boat. I'm glad we went in the boat. So, we go there, a little 8 9 digression for a second, we're going to take this tour of the thing, and he's like, Council member, 10 11 it's kind of rough out there, and we could take the 12 van and we could sort of look at what's going on 13 from the land, I'm like, let's take the boat. Let's rock. We're here, you know? And we went out there 14 15 and it was terrific. And, so, thank you for that, and thanks, everyone from Gateway, for your great 16 17 service, and we'll continue to work together on this 18 and any way that that could be of help.

19 Thanks.

20 MR. GARRETT: Thank you.

21 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thanks very22 much.

And the next witness is Len Houston, And the next witness is Len Houston, And the next witness is Len Houston, we'll have a series of panels, to get all of the

1 COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION very good people in today under the deadline. 2 3 I'll be back in 60 seconds, don't go anywhere. 4 5 (Recess taken.) CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay, Donna. 6 7 Thank you, Mr. Houston. Thank you for coming and being with us today. Thank you for 8 9 representing U.S. Army Corps, and Donna will administer the oath. Please state your name for the 10 11 record and proceed with your testimony. 12 MS. DeCOSTANZO: Please raise your 13 right hand. In the testimony that you're about to 14 15 give, do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the 16 whole truth and nothing but the truth? 17 MR. HOUSTON: I do. 18 MS. DeCOSTANZO: Thank you. 19 MR. HOUSTON: My name is Leonard 20 Houston. I'm the Chief of the Environmental Analysis 21 Branch at the New York District Offices at the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 22 23 Good morning, or perhaps more 24 properly, good afternoon. And thank you for offering 25 the Corps of Engineers this opportunity to clarify

2 what we and our partners are doing to address the 3 problem of marsh loss in Jamaica Bay and what other 4 actions we may be able to bring to bear on this 5 issue.

6 Before looking at the question of 7 marsh loss, I would like to briefly summarize the 8 more traditional roles the Corps is undertaking in 9 Jamaica Bay, in partnership with both the City and 10 the State.

11 First, there is the federal entrance 12 channel to Jamaica Bay which was originally 13 constructed by the Corps and is dredged about every 14 other year to maintain its authorized 20-foot depth. 15 In the more recent past the dredged material has 16 been used to address erosion problems at Plumb Beach 17 and Neponsit Beach, and is now being sought by the 18 City Parks Department to assist in restoring a very 19 rare grassland community on White Island, within the 20 Gerritsen Creek tributary.

21 Second, restoring the ocean beaches 22 along the Rockaways as part of a larger storm 23 protection project is another past activity, and one 24 that is currently being re-examined as authorization 25 for its beach nourishment program is ending.

2 And third, as part of our harbor-wide Dredged Material Management Plan, we have partnered 3 with the New York State Department of Environmental 4 Conservation to investigate the value and potential 5 for using dredged material to refill and thus б restore the deep holes, borrow pits, created to 7 obtain material for use in creating new land along 8 9 the shores of the bay, including JFK Airport. 10 Currently both agencies are 11 completing an extensive biological, chemical and physical characterization of several pits in Norton 12 Basin along the southern shore of the bay. This will 13 serve as a base for determining if the pits need to 14 15 be restored and how best to accomplish this. 16 More recently, Congress has added a 17 significant environmental restoration role to the 18 more traditional navigation, flood control and storm 19 protection beach nourishment activities of the 20 Corps. 21 The New York district has focused 22 much effort under these new authorizations on Jamaica Bay, in keeping with its designation by the 23 Harbor Estuary Program as a target habitat of 24 25 special concern.

2 Beginning with a Reconnaissance report in 1994, prepared in response to a 3 congressional resolution directing the Corps to 4 5 investigate the potential for improvements to the bay, the New York District has recognized the б tremendous regional and national ecological 7 significance of the bay, as well as its long and 8 9 continuous history of habitat manipulations and 10 degradation, including extensive wetland and open 11 water filling, channelization, bulk heading, 12 landfills, sewage and CSO inputs.

13 The Recon report identified a strong 14 federal interest in restoring degraded habitats. The 15 New York City Department of Environmental Protection 16 has also recognized the importance of bringing back 17 the diversity and productivity of the bay, and 18 signed a Feasibility Cost-Sharing Agreement in 1996 19 to partner with the Corps to bring this about. New 20 York State Department of Environmental Conservation strongly supports this study and has repeatedly 21 indicated its desire to utilize funds in the Jamaica 22 Bay damages account to share in the construction of 23 the sites recommended for restoration. 24

One of the first products of the

25

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 feasibility study was identification of the types of 2 degradation that could be addressed and a wide 3 variety of alternatives for restoring these areas. 4 This large pool of often-conflicting 5 б opportunities was screened down initially to 7 thirteen areas of interest. Three of those areas involved 8 modeling studies to determining what effect, if any, 9 10 various features, such as borrow pits, runways, channels and bridges had on water flow and flushing 11 rates of the bay. Ten of the areas were sites for 12 13 specific habitat restorations. 14 These areas were selected on a combination of technical feasibility, individual and 15 system-wide benefits, cost effectiveness and agency 16 17 and public support. 18 Another important factor in some 19 selections was the presence of Combined Sewage 20 Overflow, or CSO facilities, at the head of some of 21 the tributaries. 22 These facilities, either in place or

23 planned by New York City DEP, would greatly improve
24 water quality and thereby the success of restoration
25 in that tributary.

2 The overall "culling" of sites 3 resulted from an extensive outreach effort that 4 included agency work groups, landowners, potential 5 sponsors, and the public.

6 The sites selected were located along 7 the periphery of the bay as that was the area 8 believed to be suffering from the greatest impacts 9 and habitat loss.

10 In the mid-1990s, the issue of marsh 11 loss in the central part of the bay was not a 12 perceived problem and none of our partners or other 13 cooperating agencies identified this as a concern at 14 that time.

As the ten restoration sites moved into the detailed site-specific investigations necessary to design a suitable restoration plan for each, the New York City Parks Department applied for and received New York State Environmental Bond funds to construct several of the selected restoration projects that were located on their lands.

22 Since these funds had to be used in a 23 short timeframe, New York City Parks requested that 24 the Corps pull the Garritsen and Spring Creek sites 25 out of the larger feasibility study to expedite

2 construction under the Corps continuing authority
 3 program.

4 This program allows the Corps to 5 design and implement specific habitat restoration 6 projects without the need to prepare a formal 7 feasibility study and have congress approve its 8 recommendations.

9 These two CAP projects, which will 10 restore approximately 40 acres of salt marshes, are 11 moving toward construction in the Year 2004. The 12 remaining eight sites, which could include up to an 13 additional 650 acres of restored marshes, will 14 complete their designs as part of the larger 15 feasibility study.

More recently, New York City DEP has 16 17 approached the Corps about the possibility of 18 undertaking another CAP study to restore and create 19 fringe salt marsh around the periphery of the 20 Pennsylvania and Fountain Avenue landfills. 21 Both landfills are currently being 22 remediated and approximately 20 more acres of historical marsh would be recreated around their 23 shoreline, bringing the total of potential marshes 24 25 restored along the periphery of the bay to 700

2 acres.

24

3 The central marsh losses that are the 4 subject of this committee's hearing are also being 5 addressed. This loss, which was just being brought 6 to the attention of the resource and regulatory 7 agencies at about the time the initial areas of 8 interest were identified, was documented by the 9 Jamaica Bay EcoWatchers.

10 This local citizens group undertook 11 an extensive campaign of public awareness and 12 monitoring of marsh loss that raised this issue to 13 the forefront and helped to initiate the DEC 14 evaluation that confirmed an extensive and 15 apparently accelerating loss of march from the 16 central islands of the bay.

17This loss was distressing not only18because it seemed to be continuous but because it19seemed to be occurring from inside out, with most20islands suffering extensive losses over small21periods of time.22The New York State DEC study23confirmed a significant loss of central wetlands

25 unchecked, all but the largest wetland island would

over the past 50 years and predicted that, if

2 disappear by Year 2020.

3 These findings galvanized the 4 community to action. Gateway National Recreation 5 Area assembled a Blue Ribbon panel of experts in 6 2001 to look into the problem and possible 7 solutions.

The panel confirmed the loss and 8 offered a myriad of potential causes and a series of 9 10 investigations to get a better handle on the causes in order to better identify a "fix". The panel also 11 recommended pilot projects to begin restoring some 12 13 of the habitat lost while the longer-term 14 investigations tried to uncover the underlying 15 causes. 16 New York State DEC investigators also 17 recommended --18 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Mr. Houston, if 19 I could, with regard to the Blue Room Panel, I 20 believe we're going to have other witnesses that are going to kind of speak to that a little bit, but I 21 22 know that you have more testimony here like with regard to the Corps specifically and all that, so to 23 the extent that we could focus on that, that would 24 25 be great.

2 MR. HOUSTON: Okay. Let me see --3 going back to the pilot projects, the restoration 4 projects that were recommended by the Blue Ribbon 5 Panel, because implementing these would be expensive 6 and time sensitive, the major players were looking 7 to the Corps of Engineers to address this element of 8 the panel's recommendation.

9 DEP New York City took an active lead 10 in this by requesting the core initiate another CAP 11 study to implement one or more pilot restoration 12 projects.

13 In October, Commissioner Crotty of 14 New York State DEC announced our agency had secured 15 \$1 million to put towards these marsh island 16 restoration efforts, greatly increasing the total non-federal share and therefore the scope and 17 18 potential magnitude of the restoration the Corps 19 could be involved in. 20 The study is completing its preliminary recon phase, with a draft preliminary 21 22 restoration plan that lays ut the costs and schedule

24 pilot restoration projects, including environmental

for the design and implementation of one or more

25 assessments and all necessary permits.

23

2 The Preliminary Restoration Plan is currently under review by the interagency team 3 assembled to implement the pilot projects. 4 This team, in addition to the Corps, 5 6 New York City DEP and New York State DEC consist of 7 the National Park Service, owner and agency of Gateway Area and the New York State Department of 8 State, which has Costal Zone Management oversight 9 10 for activities in the bay. Once approved by the team, the PRP 11 will be forwarded to our regional offices, along 12 with a letter from our sponsor supporting the 13 14 activity, allowing us to initiate the environmental

15 restoration report and construction of the selected 16 pilot.

Once funds are released, sites and
restoration techniques will be selected, plans
developed to implement the recommended pilot or
pilot projects.

This will include a detailed post-construction monitoring effort that in combination will provide immediate relief to the marshes, slow the pace of future losses and help formulate the most effective long-term solution.

Jamaica Bay has been identified along with the Hackensack Meadowlands, as two of the most important remaining largely intact systems in the setuary.

6 Both have benefitted from this status 7 in terms of interest and resources, preserving what 8 remains and restoring at least a portion of the area 9 that was lost.

10 The Corps has been very active in 11 both areas and has benefitted from partners who have 12 been similarly committed to restoring these key 13 systems.

The partnering agreements described previously in this testimony will result in over \$6 million being invested in studies to restore Jamaica Bay, studies that in turn could lead to a total federal investment in excess of \$100 million, if all the study recommendations currently in design are implemented.

21 And the opportunities do not stop 22 there. Under its most extensive congressional 23 mandate to date, the Corps has been directed to 24 undertake investigations to identify environmental 25 improvements throughout the Hudson-Raritan estuary,

2 of which Jamaica Bay is a critical part.

In our Reconnaissance report released
in 2001, the District identified still another site
in Jamaica Bay, Brookville Marsh, as one of 13 sites
for expedited action under HRA authorization.
A companion report to the recon

8 identified additional restoration sites in Jamaica 9 Bay to be considered for inclusion in part of the 10 comprehensive restoration plan that will be 11 developed in partnership with the New York and New 12 Jersey Port Authority.

13 This study, when completed, has the 14 potential to incorporate findings relevant to 15 long-term solutions to the central marsh losses that 16 may result from the ongoing Gateway studies or our 17 own pilot projects.

Finally, protection and substantial restoration of marsh islands can be a desired outcome of the large scale HRE study, with the CAP project addressing immediate needs and the HRE Feasibility recommendations addressing the longer term needs. The timing is good and the interest is peaking.

25 All that remains is to complete the

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 studies and identify a local sponsor willing to put 2 3 up the non-federal of such an implementation. Ongoing efforts, though substantial in scope and 4 funding compared to a decade ago can be the tip of 5 the iceberg. Jamaica Bay is uniquely situated to б 7 benefit even more under HRE authorization, and to do 8 so in a big way. 9 Thank you. 10 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Mr. Houston. Thank you for your testimony. Thank you for 11 12 being here. Thank you for your work and the work of 13 the Corps on this important issue. Thank God we have

14 you folks that are in the wetlands restoration
15 business.

16 And I'll just call attention to that one thing that you need to move at least part of it 17 18 forward, the whole notion of local sponsors willing 19 to put up non-federal shares, could you go over 20 that? Could you expand upon that a little bit? 21 MR. HOUSTON: Okay. We have local 22 sponsors for the Jamaica Bay Feasibility Study, so that's proceeding. We have local sponsors for the 23 CAP projects, those are proceeding. 24

25 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: You mean like

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 funding sources? 2 3 MR. HOUSTON: Funding sources. CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right. 4 MR. HOUSTON: Because my agency 5 requires a local match for everything we do. It's б 7 not a granting agency, it has to have a cost share with a local sponsor. The HRE, the bigger study 8 which could look at the larger picture of Jamaica 9 10 Bay is just beginning. 11 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right. 12 MR. HOUSTON: So, what it requires is 13 when it reaches its conclusions is to have some 14 local agencies ready to come up and step forward and share in the local cost. That's still a couple of 15 16 years away, but it's always good to identify that 17 need. 18 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Sure. Sure. 19 And, so, when you talk about the 20 state doing their piece with the million dollars --21 MR. HOUSTON: This is for the CAP 22 project that deals with the immediate pilot projects. So, we have funding, or the state and the 23 City has sort of lined up funding to be the match 24 for the CAP project, which will deal with the 25

1 COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION immediate problem. 2 3 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: And so that's 4 going on? MR. HOUSTON: That's going on right 5 б now. 7 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: And then you also indicated earlier in your statement that we 8 could be looking at 650 acres worth of restoration? 9 10 MR. HOUSTON: If you look at all the restoration in the CAP projects that were currently 11 undertaken with the parks, and we're currently 12 undertaking with DEP, around the periphery of the 13 14 bay, this doesn't include Central Park or the bay, they add up to over 700 acres of marshes that would 15 16 be restored. 17 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I see. I see. 18 With regard to restoring the marshes 19 in the interior of the bay, what's your prognosis 20 for how that can and should happen? 21 MR. HOUSTON: I think we're handling 22 that pretty well with the partners that I mentioned 23 before. 24 We're trying to deal with two things

25 at one time. We're trying to deal with stopping the

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 loss now, and trying to restore some of what's going 2 3 on while the other, the larger groups are going out to try to identify the cause so they can come up 4 with a long-term solution. So, we're dealing with 5 б short term and long-term activities. 7 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: And I guess you folks are also participating in the Jamaica Bay 8 project? 9 10 MR. HOUSTON: Yes, we are. 11 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay. How are 12 you finding that? 13 MR. HOUSTON: I think it's an 14 excellent collaboration of groups that in the past 15 might sometimes not have agreed in things and in 16 philosophy. I find an incredible amount of cooperation coming on now in the last two years. 17 18 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I'm still 19 looking forward to what comes out of that process in 20 the spring. 21 Okay, I have a question that's been 22 brought to my attention here regarding a restoration project that may involve a plan. It's dredge spoils 23 in the borrow pits, in the bay and some potential 24

25 concern that there might be toxic elements within

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 1 the dredge spoils, is this something which is 2 3 happening or contemplated? MR. HOUSTON: As I indicated in one of 4 our non-traditional, our traditional activities, we 5 are looking as part of beneficial use of dredge б 7 material, to use dredge material to restore large borrow areas that might have been degraded. 8 9 We're currently doing that with DEC. 10 We don't know whether that's the appropriate thing to do at this time, so we're doing this at a very 11 systematic phase with DEC. 12 13 We currently two small pits in 14 Jamaica Bay in Norton Basin and we're evaluating them to determine if the pit is actually degraded. 15 16 Is the biological conditions in a poor case, is the physical conditions poor? Do they warrant some 17 18 action at all? That question hasn't even been 19 answered. The information is being collected for 20 that. 21 The next stage of that is if they do warrant some action, what is the appropriate action? 22 23 The appropriate action might be to

24 fill the pit up partially or completely back to what 25 it originally was. The question would be what kind

2 of material do you use? We haven't even gotten to 3 the point where we determine if you even need to use 4 dredge material.

5 There's a concern of the citizens out 6 there, whenever they hear the term contaminated dredge material. The use of contaminated dredge 7 8 material, if appropriate in this area, would be as a base layer and it would be covered by clean 9 10 material. And the only reason you would even 11 consider that is because there's a large volume of 12 contaminated material available for use, and if you 13 didn't use contaminated material but had to go back 14 in and buy that same large volume of clean material, 15 it might become cost prohibitive and those areas may 16 never be restored.

17 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Oh, I see. And 18 is this something that's been done in the past in 19 the bay?

20 MR. HOUSTON: It has not been done in 21 New York. That's why we're progressing in very, very 22 short measured steps. It has been done elsewhere in 23 the country.

24 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: What is the25 usual method of getting rid of dredge spoils? If

2 dredge spoils in certain inner harbor area are 3 certain to be, you know, have some toxic materials 4 in them and what is ordinarily done with these 5 dredge spoils?

6 MR. HOUSTON: A variety of actions 7 have occurred with them in the past. Other Corps 8 districts, for instance, have distinct disposal 9 areas, containment islands have been constructed 10 where the materials were put in. That option is not 11 looked with a lot of favor on in the metropolitan 12 area, so currently what's happening with 13 contaminated materials, is that they are being 14 treated and used for landfill remediation and other 15 beneficial uses such as that. 16 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I see. MR. HOUSTON: So, it's conceivable 17 that you might even treat this material and then use 18 it to fill a pit. 19 20 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I see. Up til 21 now we haven't been using this sort of, you know, we 22 haven't done much borrow pit where we --23 MR. HOUSTON: No, nothing has been 24 placed in the borrow pit up until now, and if you follow the plans that DEC is developing in 25

1 COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 2 conjunction with the Corps, it won't even be I 3 believe until the Year 2005, 2006 before any 4 material is actually placed in a pit. 5 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Has this type of 6 thing been done in other areas --7 MR. HOUSTON: Yes. 8 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: -- Where we're 9 using dredge spoils? 10 MR. HOUSTON: It's been done more 11 specifically in Boston and in Seattle. CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I see. I see. 12 13 Okay, we'll keep our eye on that as 14 well. 15 Thank you very much for your 16 testimony. I appreciate it. Thank you for being 17 here. Just a couple of things, a couple of 18 19 housekeeping items. There's another hearing in this room 20 21 at 1:00, but we're not going to be done by 1:00, so 22 we're going to go into the cozy Committee Room, you 23 know, at the appropriate time, should we need to. 24 I feel compelled to let people say 25 what they have to say and not kind of cut people

2 off. And, so, I want to hear everything that has to 3 be said, if we can't accomplish that by 1:00 in 4 here, then we can do it in there. And, so, we've got 5 a little, you know, I guess more time to work with. 6 Of course, I've got respect for 7 everyone's timing here, and, so, we're not going to belabor things that don't have to be belabored, but 8 we're not under the 1:00 deadline anymore. 9 10 I would also like to, because during Mr. Houston's testimony and others, have mentioned 11 the state, and I should state for the record, I'm 12 happy to state for the record, that although the 13 State DEC could not be at this hearing, they're very 14 concerned about the issue. I did get a call from the 15 16 Region 2 Director, Mr. Cunkill, about this issue, I got a personal phone call from Erin Crotty, the DEC 17 Commissioner, she called me personally about this 18 hearing, so both the Commissioner and the Region 2 19 20 Regional Director are very involved, are very 21 interested, and we all know that they've done a lot 22 of good work in this area. 23 They were sorry that they weren't 24 able to be here, but they did each speak to me

25 personally about the issues and what was going to be

| 2 | going on at the hearing, and, of course, they want |
|--|--|
| 3 | me to brief them after the fact, which I will do. |
| 4 | And we heard the statement from |
| 5 | Congressman Weiner, but we also have written |
| б | testimony from State Senator Malcolm Smith, who has |
| 7 | been very involved in the issue. Dr. Martin |
| 8 | Schreiben, I hope I'm saying that right, from |
| 9 | Brooklyn College and Dr. John Tencriti (phonetic) |
| 10 | from Dowling College. So, I just wanted to state for |
| 11 | the record the participation of those folks who |
| 12 | couldn't be here today but are very interested |
| 13 | nonetheless. |
| | |
| 14 | And, so, we're going to the panel |
| 14 15 | And, so, we're going to the panel phase of our hearing. We're going to be putting some |
| | |
| 15 | phase of our hearing. We're going to be putting some |
| 15 16 | phase of our hearing. We're going to be putting some folks in panels, and the next panel to be heard |
| 15 16 17 | phase of our hearing. We're going to be putting some folks in panels, and the next panel to be heard from, Dan Mundy of Jamaica Bay EcoWatchers, Don |
| 15 16 17 18 | phase of our hearing. We're going to be putting some folks in panels, and the next panel to be heard from, Dan Mundy of Jamaica Bay EcoWatchers, Don Riepe, of the American Littoral Society, and Adam |
| 15 16 17 18 19 | phase of our hearing. We're going to be putting some folks in panels, and the next panel to be heard from, Dan Mundy of Jamaica Bay EcoWatchers, Don Riepe, of the American Littoral Society, and Adam Brown, of the Working Waterfront Association for New |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 | phase of our hearing. We're going to be putting some folks in panels, and the next panel to be heard from, Dan Mundy of Jamaica Bay EcoWatchers, Don Riepe, of the American Littoral Society, and Adam Brown, of the Working Waterfront Association for New York and New Jersey Baykeeper. |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | phase of our hearing. We're going to be putting some folks in panels, and the next panel to be heard from, Dan Mundy of Jamaica Bay EcoWatchers, Don Riepe, of the American Littoral Society, and Adam Brown, of the Working Waterfront Association for New York and New Jersey Baykeeper. I've got Baykeeper testimony, and |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | phase of our hearing. We're going to be putting some folks in panels, and the next panel to be heard from, Dan Mundy of Jamaica Bay EcoWatchers, Don Riepe, of the American Littoral Society, and Adam Brown, of the Working Waterfront Association for New York and New Jersey Baykeeper. I've got Baykeeper testimony, and I've got Jamaica Bay EcoWatcher testimony. |

2 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: No, okay. 3 So, I'd like to thank you very much for your patience, for being here, and we're 4 5 grateful to have you and to get the benefit of your 6 views. Having said that, we'll put you through the 7 oath ritual, and I would ask you to each state your 8 name for the record and proceed with your testimony. We'll take testimony from this way to that way. 9 10 MS. DeCOSTANZO: Please raise your 11 right hand. In the testimony that you're about to 12 13 give, do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the 14 whole truth or nothing but the truth? 15 MR. MUNDY: I do. MR. BROWN: I do. 16 MR. RIEPE: I do. 17 18 MS. DeCOSTANZO: Thank you. 19 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay. And then 20 in turn, I guess, starting with Dan, just state your 21 name and proceed with your testimony. 22 What we'll probably do is we'll 23 probably wait til we have heard from all three of 24 you before I proceed with questions or comments, 25 whatever. And I may direct the question to any one

2 of you, or to just in general and anybody can jump 3 in and answer it.

So, once again, thank you. And, Dan,
the floor is yours. Please state your name for the
record and proceed with your testimony.

7 MR. MUNDY: Thank you, Councilman. I'm 8 wearing two hats this morning, I'm representing the 9 Jamaica Bay EcoWatchers, as well as Community Board 10 14 in Rockaway. I'm the environmental chair and the 11 district manager has asked me to represent him, as 12 he couldn't make it today.

Good morning, and I thank you for inviting me to speak on this most important issue. My name is Dan Mundy, and I'm from the Jamaica Bay EcoWatchers. We're an environmental group whose mission is to preserve, protect, enhance and restore the ecosystem of Jamaica Bay.

19 Our members have over 500 cumulative 20 years of knowledge and experience of Jamaica Bay. We 21 are the ones, who as mentioned by others, who seven 22 years ago first discovered the disappearance of the 23 salt water marshes in Jamaica Bay.

We then spent the first couple ofyears confirming and documenting our suspicions. The

next couple of years were spent in trying to
 convince the different jurisdictional agencies of
 this problem.

5 And, finally, when we accomplished 6 that, we went on to enlist the help of our elected 7 officials to help us lobby for funding to initiate 8 pilot investigations as to the possible causes, as 9 well as pilot projects to stem the loss of marshes, 10 and that is where we are now.

Here are some statistics that were already presented but I'd like to put them in a different context so I can continue on.

14 From 1857 to 1924, there were
15 approximately 3,300 acres of marsh islands, with an
16 annual change of plus or minus ten acres.

From 1924 to 1974, a 50-year span, we lost only ten acres per year. But from 1974 to 1994, a shortened 20-year span, we lost 26 acres per year. And then from 1994 to 1999, in only five years we lost 44 acres per year. And now we're losing over 50 acres per year. It is predicted that in 20 years from

24 now all of the marsh islands, except for one, will 25 be gone.

2 This will have a tremendous impact on 3 the bay's ecosystem, as well as the shore line 4 infrastructure of the bay. 5 And I'd just like to expand on that б for a minute, because you're wondering why. 7 Well, the fact is that if the marsh 8 islands of Jamaica Bay disappear, the dampening 9 effect that they have on the waves, as we saw the other day when we took our boat ride out there, as 10 we got closer to those marsh islands, the waves 11 12 subsided, because we were on the least side of them, 13 and one was only to imagine that day that we were there, and those on the boat, what it would have 14 15 been if those marsh islands weren't there, and I 16 think you have seen that for yourself, Jim, what we witnessed. 17

18 The wave fetch will increase from 19 three feet to five feet, and with the four or five 20 mile stretch across Jamaica Bay the outer fringes of 21 the bay will be severely impacted, the Belt Parkway. 22 The water is only 50 feet from the Belt Parkway at 23 the present time. I took the Independent A line 24 today in from Broad Channel to here, and at high 25 tide the water was ten feet from the tracks, where

2 marshes used to be. So, you can see very soon how 3 that's going to be impacted, as well as the 4 landfills and everything else that goes around the 5 side of the bay, all be impacted by the loss of 6 these marsh islands through the increased wave 7 action.

8 Now, I know I'm talking to a Council 9 who will have to make very big decisions in regard to a tremendous deficit we are facing here in New 10 York City, however, I also know that the New York 11 City DEP has one of the largest budgets, and 12 sustains that budget through the New York City Water 13 Board which regulates our water bills, which, by the 14 way, you don't really have impact on, except at 15 16 their hearings, and myself also. 17 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I've got some impact. 18 19 MR. MUNDY: Okay, that's what I'm

20 trying to say.

21 One of the functions of the DEP is to 22 build and manage the wastewater treatment system for 23 the City, and it's been said before, in Jamaica Bay 24 there are four such treatment plants which discharge 25 over 250 million gallons per day of effluent into

2 the bay during dry weather conditions and over a 3 billion gallons a day during rain events through 4 CSOs and outfall discharges.

5 Although the DEP has made great б strides in enhancing the water quality in Jamaica 7 Bay more can and must be done. Since we have stopped ocean dumping of waste treatment sludge in 1002 and 8 9 1993, they have through the mandated dewatering 10 process added high concentrations of nitrogen into the bay with this centrate. These concentrations in 11 1993 caused a 20 percent nitrogen increase in 12 effluent loading and a 30 percent increase in 1998. 13 And the reference for that is their regional harbor 14 quality book of 2000. 15

16 This has caused euthropic conditions 17 in the waters of the bay. I believe the timing of 18 these events, in conjunction with the disappearance 19 of marsh and the die-off of mussels should be 20 further investigated.

The decrease in water clarity and increase in algae blooms during the late'90s are the result of the additional nitrogen load.

24The DEP is currently under a consent25order from the New York State DEC to upgrade the

1 COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 2 26th ward treatment plant for biological nutrient 3 reduction. I am requesting that you, the Council, 4 inquire as to the feasibility of the DEP reducing 5 this time schedule for implementation. Also, as what 6 can be done to include the Jamaica dewatering plant 7 into this program. 8 It doesn't make much sense to me to 9 only upgrade one of the facilities while the other 10 continues to degrade the bay. 11 Thank you. CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you. 12 And I'll ask questions of you once 13 14 all the panel has completed their testimony. 15 Don, you're up. 16 MR. RIEPE: Hi. Thank you. 17 My name is Don Riepe, and for the 18 past 24 years I have worked --19 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: You can move the 20 mic and make it more comfortable for you. Okay. MR. RIEPE: I'm uncomfortable to begin 21 22 with, so I might as well. 23 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay. 24 MR. RIEPE: For the past 24 years I

25 have worked for the National Park Service at the

2 Jamaica Bay Unit, currently I'm the district manager 3 for the Jamaica Bay Wildlife Refuge which is a 9,000 4 acre preserve in the middle of Jamaica Bay. 5 This is an internationally renown б estuary and home to over 330 species of birds that have been reported there. It's an amazing diversity 7 8 of bird life. 9 In addition, there were several 10 fishery surveys undertaken by myself and several other people in agencies over the last 30 years that 11 identified 110 species of fin fish. All of this 12 translates into one for recreational and economic 13 14 advantages for the City. 15 In January I will retire from the 16 National Park Service and assume the position of Jamaica Bay Guardian. This will be a position with 17 the American Littoral Society, a coastal 18 conservation organization. 19 As the Guardian I will attempt to --20 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: That's what I 21 22 like. I like guys that retire but don't retire. 23 MR. RIEPE: Retire from one job. 24 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yes. 25 MR. RIEPE: As the Jamaica Bay

Guardian, my responsibilities will be to go around the bay and meet and grade all the uses of the bay in an attempt to coordinate and educate all the people about the importance of the resources of the bay. Also to develop a group of watchdog organizations to keep an eye on their area of the bay to protect it.

9 Part of that, it will be education and advocacy networking and coordinating efforts 10 with all the various state, federal and other 11 government agencies and NGOs around the bay. 12 Over the years the Jamaica Bay 13 14 Estuary has been given several important designations. In the '80s it was declared a critical 15 16 fish and wildlife area by the New York State DEC, as well as New York State Department of State. In the 17 1990s, the National Audubon Society declared it an 18 important bird area. And, also, in the '80s and 19 '90s, the New York City Audubon Society, together 20 with the Trust for Public Land and the American 21 22 Littoral Society, another group, developed a Buffer of the Day Program, which looked at all their 23 24 remaining open space around the bay, most of which 25 was City-owned, and tried to get them put into

2 protective status. It has been very successful, but 3 still much more work is needed in that area. 4 We tend to look at economic 5 development as utilizing open space to provide 6 economic support for the cities, but I must tell you 7 that over a million people recreate, fish, boat, 8 bird watch and enjoy sunsets in Jamaica Bay, so it's 9 an extremely valuable resource economically to 10 preserve. 11 I hope that in the future I'm glad to 12 see that there is this forward movement, that this 13 can be kept up, that this pace can be kept up, 14 because as Dan mentioned, and thanks to his efforts, 15 we're really making strides now to move forward in a 16 quicker pace. Thank you. 17 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you. Thank 18 you very much. I appreciate it. 19 Adam Brown, right? Adam Brown? 20 MR. BROWN: Yes. CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay. Where's --21 22 MR. BROWN: It's Baykeepers. 23 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Where's Andy? MR. BROWN: Well, that's why I'm here. 24 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay. We're 25

2 happy to have you. I just know Andy. 3 MR. BROWN: And I'm happy to be here. 4 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I've got a few 5 Andy stories. 6 MR. BROWN: Don't we all. 7 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I won't tell 8 them here. We're talking about Andy Willner, the 9 Baykeeper. 10 MR. BROWN: Baykeeper is a 11 conservation and advocacy organization - citizen 12 stewards. Our mission is to protect and restore the 13 Hudson-/Raritan Estuary, its tributaries and 14 watersheds. An urban estuary, Jamaica Bay has been heavily affected by the pressures of human 15 development. It has been filled in, built upon, and 16 despoiled by garbage. As urban development and 17 impervious surfaces surrounded the Bay, the creeks 18 and streams that fed it were bulkheaded and 19 20 channeled into storm sewers and sewage discharge 21 conveyances. For a significant period of time, 22 sewage was untreated. These impacts, along with industrial wastes and oil leaking from non-point 23 sources bordering the bay, contributed to a serious 24 25 pollution problem for its waters.

2 By the 1960s the marine life was 3 dying off and commercial fishing was banned. Over 4 the last 25 years some headway has been made but 5 there is still a long way to go. Despite its б history, Jamaica Bay is a viable, distinct ecosystem containing one of the most important urban wildlife 7 8 refuges in the United States. It is New York City's urban wilderness, accessible to millions and in need 9 10 of full-time stewardship.

11 Founded in 1989 to address New York Harbor needs, Baykeeper's accomplishments include: 12 scores of acres of critical wetlands, streams, 13 14 habitats restored, construction of oyster reefs and an oyster gardening project; establishment of a 15 16 Meadowlands Conservation Trust; and the acquisition 17 of federal and state funds for a National Estuarine 18 Research Reserve in Raritan Bay; and successful advocacy and litigation on issues ranging from 19 20 combined sewer overflows to contaminated site 21 remediation.

22 New York/New Jersey Baykeeper remains 23 actively involved in all aspects of the Harbor 24 Estuary program, including developing a priority 25 list of endangered habitats that should be acquired

2 and restored leading to the \$60 million habitat 3 acquisition project by the Port Authority of New 4 York and New Jersey, and the Army Corps' Hudson 5 Raritan restoration project. Baykeeper volunteers 6 also do stream corridor and wetlands restoration 7 projects. We have been in the forefront of advocacy 8 for unfettered access to all of the 1,000 miles of 9 shoreline in the Estuary, and have defended that right of access using the ancient common law 10 principle, the Public Trust Doctrine. 11 12 We have been active in Jamaica Bay issues since our inception. Attending hearings, 13 meeting with other organizations, commenting on 14 proposals and EIS's, developing and implementing 15 16 habitat preservation and restoration projects, patrolling by boat, and actively participating in 17 and contributing to the debate over Jamaica Bay's 18 disappearing wetlands. Our advocacy for the 19 20 elimination of excess nutrients in Jamaica Bay's 21 ecosystem has resulted in tangible changes in 22 policy. Nitrogen in particular is one of the suspects in the marsh loss puzzle. 23

As a result of a settlement with NewYork City DEP, Baykeeper and Long Island Soundkeeper

will help to oversee the distribution of a \$4.2 million environmental benefit project for Jamaica Bay and Western Long Island Sound. \$100,000 of these funds is dedicated to the purchase, maintenance and operation of a Jamaica Bay sewage pump out boat. This boat will be in service in the Spring of 2003, fighting pollution and excess nutrients one gallon at a time.

10 Shortly, a panel consisting of Baykeeper, Soundkeeper, New York City DEP and New 11 York's DEC, and the State of Connecticut will be 12 deciding how to spend some significant portion of 13 that environmental benefit program money for Jamaica 14 Bay restoration projects. It is our intention to 15 16 work with government agencies and non-governmental organizations to make sure that the funds are used 17 to put money in the ground and not into studies. We 18 will advocate for and work with organizations and 19 20 institutions that have viable attainable projects 21 that can be done sooner rather than later to help to 22 abate the marsh loss that has been characterized by Dan Mundy and the EcoWatchers, Natural Resources 23 Protective Association, and the various panels, blue 24 ribbon or otherwise. 25

2 It is also our intention to get to 3 the bottom of the causes of the wetlands erosion 4 problem. We believe that it is a combination of high 5 nutrients and other sources of pollution, sea level 6 rise, boat wakes, and some structural problems that have thrown the sediment budget out of balance. 7 8 We look forward to working with the 9 City Council, and all agencies of government, as 10 well as our colleagues, to make sure that Jamaica 11 Bay is preserved and restored as our urban 12 wilderness and as a legacy to our children. Please feel free to contact us for 13 14 more information about our programs for Jamaica Bay. 15 Thank you, again, for the opportunity 16 to testify. CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you. Thank 17 you. 18 19 After this panel, we're going to be 20 moving next door after this panel. 21 Yes, thank you. 22 Dan, with regard to DEP, you saw that I -- is Mark Flannagan still here? Did he go? You 23 saw that I pressed the Commissioner on the whole 24 25 centrate thing and all that. Are you satisfied with

2 this comment? What's your sense?

MR. MUNDY: Yes, well, I think the 3 4 question could be expanded if the centrate has the 5 contaminants and pathogens, then what about taking 6 part of the effluent of the 85 million gallons a day 7 from the 26th ward plant and using that for 8 irrigation on there. 9 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right. 10 MR. MUNDY: That would have less of the contaminants, less of the pathogens, and it 11 would still be higher nutrient value, and anything 12 that we don't put in the bay is better. 13 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I'll tell you 14 what, why don't we do this: I don't want to give you 15 16 like a homework assignment or anything, but if your issues, concerns, questions or, you know, how ever 17 you want to formulate it, if you want to put that 18 down with whatever specificity you want and take as 19 20 long as you want on it, and get it to Donna, and you 21 know how to get it to Donna? 22 MR. MUNDY: Yes, that sounds good. 23 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: And then I'll get it to the Commissioner, and he'll respond 24 directly to you. And, so, that will, you know, serve 25

1 COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 2 to educate me and have me think outside the box a 3 little bit and we'll get a response from the 4 Commissioner on that, and if you want to meet or 5 whatever you want to do. 6 MR. MUNDY: Right. 7 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Because if I 8 could play like a conduit role here, I would be 9 happy to do that because, you know, all good ideas should get explored, and if we can do something 10 11 better then sometimes all it takes is a good idea. MR. MUNDY: Right. I agree. 12 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: So, I'd be happy 13 14 to play that role. 15 Now, with regard to the process --16 Jamaica Bay report is going to be produced, and I'm 17 calling the process the Jamaica Bay report, which is 18 probably not the name of the process; does the process have a name? What is it? What's the name of 19 20 the process? MR. MUNDY: No. Mr. Garrett just 21 22 talked about that earlier, about coming through with 23 that Jamaica Bay report. CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right. I want to 24 25 ask you about how it's going.

2 MR. MUNDY: Go ahead, ask me. 3 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yes. So, that 4 unnamed process, which will ultimately result in the 5 production of the Jamaica Bay report, I just want to 6 hear from all of you how you think it's going and 7 also for Baykeeper, I'm curious as to whether or not you're actually participating in it or what, but you 8 9 know, just start with that one and go that way. 10 MR. MUNDY: Well, as far as the report goes, you know, I'm not going to wait for the report 11 12 to come out to comment on it because by then it might be too late. This is going to be an ongoing 13 process which I'm going to be involved in and up to 14 now I'm satisfied with the way things are going. 15 16 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay. 17 MR. MUNDY: We've got things moving well. The agencies are working together very good, 18 as Delaney (phonetic) said before, and as I had said 19 to them, I asked six or eight months ago for yous to 20 initiate these meetings, get the agencies together 21 22 and now yous are doing it, but you forgot to call me in the process. 23 24 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right.

25 MR. MUNDY: So now they're telling me

2 they're going to be bringing me in later on. 3 So, that's okay. 4 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Fine. 5 MR. MUNDY: As long as things are б going along good. 7 But I'm pretty well pleased at the 8 progress, especially with the funding, because when we first started this project, and after convincing 9 10 them that there was a problem, they did come to me 11 and said, well, now, you know, we know there's a problem but what are we going to do about it? This 12 is going to cost a lot of money, we don't have it. 13 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right. 14 15 MR. MUNDY: And through the media and the help of our elected officials, you can see the 16 figures that are being thrown around here today. I'm 17 very comfortable with them, and especially for the 18 Army Corps with the ability to put that money in 19 there and resources that they have and the 20 partnership with the DEP, I think we've got a great 21 22 effort going here. 23 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Don? MR. RIEPE: I, too, am pleased with 24

25 the status of the report so far and how things have

2 been moving along very quickly.

3 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Now, when you 4 participate, and you participated in the capacity 5 with Gateway, and ultimately you'll be participating б _ _ 7 MR. RIEPE: Right, as of January --8 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: With the 9 American Littoral Society? 10 MR. RIEPE: Correct. 11 So, I'm looking forward to working 12 with the National Park Service after I retire, as 13 well. I just hope that the Park Service includes in 14 their report a hard look at the watershed of Jamaica 15 Bay. We must take a hard look at all the remaining 16 buffer areas around the bay --17 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right. 18 MR. RIEPE: Because continued development in those areas would set back, even set 19 20 back our efforts to restore the marsh lands. 21 The areas around the bay, because 22 they've been so hardened around the years, there no 23 places for the marshes to go at this point. 24 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right. Thank 25 you.

2 Adam, got it. 3 MR. BROWN: I know it's confusing, 4 Adam, Andrew. 5 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Do you guys б participate in the Baykeeper? Are you part of this 7 process that's going to lead to the Jamaica Bay 8 report? 9 MR. BROWN: Well, Working Waterfront 10 partners with Baykeeper. Baykeeper has been involved 11 in Jamaica Bay for quite some time. CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I know there's a 12 specified process going on now, which is ultimately 13 14 going to lead to, you know, I guess it's an attempt 15 to, as Billy had mentioned earlier; are you familiar 16 with it? 17 MR. BROWN: Yes, I am. But I haven't gotten Andrew Willner's answer about that yet, so I 18 19 don't want to speak on --20 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: No, I was just 21 curious. 22 MR. BROWN: I'm sure that they will be 23 involved. In what capacity, I can't say. 24 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Do you guys 25 patrol the Jamaica Bay in the boat or whatever?

2 MR. BROWN: The New York New Jersey 3 Baykeeper absolutely patrols, and they're going to 4 have their pump out boat as well patrolling, which 5 will also act as a secondary patrol boat. 6 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: You've got one 7 boat now, right? You've got one boat? 8 MR. BROWN: Right now they have one 9 boat and they're purchasing the pump out boat, which 10 will also act as a secondary patrol boat. 11 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Oh, I see. And the Baykeeper is part of sort of 12 13 like national Waterkeeper Alliance Movement? MR. BROWN: Yes. They're affiliated 14 15 with the American Littoral Society, but they're also 16 a member of the National Waterkeeper Alliance. 17 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right, Riverkeeper and all that, it's all part of the 18 Waterkeeper Alliance, right? 19 20 MR. BROWN: That's correct. 21 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Hang on. 22 I just wanted to follow up with Don on one issue with regard to the watershed question. 23 24 Has DEP been -- I guess you want to make sure that 25 gets addressed in the Jamaica Bay report, and have

1 COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 2 there been discussions with DEP about the Jamaica 3 Bay watershed? 4 MR. RIEPE: I don't believe so, other 5 than just some of the perimeter areas. 6 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right. 7 MR. RIEPE: The landfills are --8 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: But of course as 9 we saw from DEP's own map --10 MR. RIEPE: Yes, not only DEP, but HPD 11 as well, and the Economic Development Corporation 12 have to be involved in this process as well. We 13 can't operate independently of other agencies in the 14 City. 15 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay. MR. MUNDY: Jim? 16 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: One last point, 17 18 because the hearing is starting here like right now, 19 so this will be the last comment. MR. MUNDY: I'd just like to expand on 20 21 that watershed thing we just talked about. In 22 addition to the watershed there's an airshed, okay? 23 Everything that comes from the air into the 24 watershed ends up in the watershed, and we have JFK 25 Airport in there, all the planes take off, the

1 COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 2 runways direct them right over the bay, we have a 3 lot of air pollution from the airport, they have a 4 certain responsibility to the marshes and I think 5 we've got to bring them in. б Christopher Ward worked over there 7 before --8 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Is that going to 9 be part of this whole effort? 10 MR. MUNDY: I'm intending to bring 11 them in, yes. CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Do the airport 12 13 people participate in this whole thing? MR. MUNDY: Not now. 14 15 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay. We should 16 think about that. Gentlemen, thank you very much for 17 18 your good testimony. 19 MR. MUNDY: Thank you. 20 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Why don't we 21 call the next panel. The next panel, we'll actually 22 hear them next door, I'll just call their names 23 here. I'll be out of here in two seconds, I promise. 24 I would like to recognize the 25 presence of former Council Member Steve Fiala from

2 Staten Island in the back of the room.

3 Hey, Steve. And Council Member Nelson 4 from Brooklyn. 5 Okay, the next panel which will meet 6 next door, Dr. Vivian Gornitz, from the NASA Goddard 7 Institute for Space Studies; and Ellen Hartig, as 8 well from the same group. Dr. David Franz; Dr. 9 Lawrence Swanson; and Dr. Paul Mankiewicz. A lot of 10 doctors, okay. So, they'll all meet next door, and 11 anyone else associated with the hearing on Jamaica 12 Bay, we're going to move next door into the 13 Committee Room in order to yield to the Health 14 Committee that has a very important, obviously very important hearing. 15 16 And, so, my best wishes to the Health 17 Committee. 18 (Recess taken.) 19 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you for 20 joining us for part 2. Thank you all very much for 21 coming and lending your talents to this important 22 issue and also for your patience. 23 We greatly appreciate that you've 24 been here for a long time, and sometimes I get a 25 little worried or whatever and I hope I haven't held

2 up the proceedings at all, but I'd like to give 3 people the opportunity to kind of give everything 4 that they have to offer, so sometimes it means 5 people have to wait and I'm sorry about that. And 6 particularly a panel with the prestige that yours 7 has, I hate to make folks like you wait, but it's a testament to your commitment to this issue, and I 8 9 appreciate it very much. And also I'm humbled to some extent. I mean, I do have a degree in geology, 10 so I like to think of myself as some kind of 11 12 scientist, you know what I mean, it's like, I'm some science guy, I know science, but here I am in the 13 presence of scientific giants so I can't pretend in 14 front of you folks. But I am smart, see, I brought 15 16 you to the table and so I don't have all the information but I know that you can certainly 17 provide it in your wisdom on this issue, and, so, 18 we'd like to proceed. 19

20 And if you can give the scientific 21 version of the oath, some sort of special science 22 version or whatever of it.

23 So, Donna DeCostanzo will give you 24 the science version of the oath, and then we'll 25 proceed.

2 MS. DeCOSTANZO: It's the same as the 3 regular version. 4 Could you please raise your right 5 hands? 6 In the testimony that you're about to 7 give, do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the 8 whole truth and nothing but the truth? 9 (witnesses sworn.) 10 MS. DeCOSTANZO: Thank you. 11 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you very 12 much. And I guess what we'll do is we'll start this 13 way and go that way. We'll follow the same procedure as before. So, I'd like each of you when you begin 14 15 your testimony to state your name for the record and 16 to proceed, and when the light on the microphone is off, that means the microphone is on. The red light, 17 so you push the button and it goes off. 18 19 So, if you could start? DR. HARTIG: Since Vivien Gornitz and 20 21 I work together --22 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Could you state 23 your name for the record, even what you're saying 24 now, so that the stenographer knows who's speaking. 25 Would you state your name for the

2 record, please?

3 DR. HARTIG: My name is Ellen Kracauer 4 Hartig. I worked on the Jamaica Bay marshes together 5 with Dr. Vivien Gornitz, and it would be best if she 6 gave her presentation first, so that mine will make 7 more sense to the audience.

8 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Great. 9 DR. HARTIG: Thank you. 10 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: You got it. 11 DR. GORNITZ: I'm Vivien Gornitz, I 12 work at the Center for Climate Systems Research, 13 Columbia University, located at the Goddard Institute, Broadway and 112th Street. And I just 14 want to point out that the EcoWatchers are not the 15 16 only people to observe something amiss in the marshes, and that although we were not part of the 17 18 Blue Ribbon Panel, our research is certainly 19 relevant to these issues. And starting in 1998, the 20 Environmental Defense Fund, commissioned us to help 21 out in an investigation on the climate change 22 impacts in the New York City area --23 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Who commissioned 24 that? 25 DR. GORNITZ: The Environmental

2 Defense Fund.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay.
DR. GORNITZ: In 1998.
And that was the seed of the research
that followed and there is also published in "Hot
Nights in the City Global Warming, Sea-Level Rise,
and the New York Metropolitan Region," which was
published in 1999.

10 In 1999, we began a study for the 11 U.S. National Climate Change Assessment, one of the 18 regional assessments, the Metro East Coast 12 Report, which I have submitted a copy thereof. It 13 was a multi-disciplinary team composed of a number 14 of groups from Columbia University, including the 15 Center for Climate Systems Research, Lamont-Doherty 16 Earth Observatory, CIESIN, and the School of Public 17 Health, also New York University and various state, 18 city and government stakeholder partners. 19

In fact, I work very closely with the New York State Army Corps of Engineers and Ellen Hartig and I also work very closely with the New York State DEC. And our purpose was to examine the change, the climate change impacts of the New York East Coast region, and in particular, I was focusing

2 on sea level rise impacts in the coast, defects on 3 beaches, coastal flooding and the like, and Ellen 4 Hartig focused mostly on wetlands. And specifically 5 we used Jamaica Bay as a case study site in our б investigations into the wetlands. 7 As the project began I discussed with 8 Ellen the possibility that the regional sea-level rise could already be affecting the marshes, as it 9 appears to be doing in other parts of the country; 10 11 for example, Louisiana and in Chesapeake Bay. In Louisiana the local sea level rise 12 is about 10 millimeters a year, .4 inches a year, 13 14 which is somewhat greater than the world average because of a number of local geological and 15 16 anthropogenic factors. 17 However, closer to home, Chesapeake Bay, the local sea-level rise there is only just a 18 little bit greater than in this region, and there 19 has been historic loss of island marshes there 20 21 within the last 150 years and also some of the 22 fringing marshes are also disappearing. So, it seems possible that something similar might be happening 23 24 here. 25 Now, in terms of the fact global

2 warming is already occurring, the last 100 years the 3 earth as a whole has warmed about a degree, here in 4 New York it's been about two degrees. 5 The local sea-level rise in this б area, nine to 15 inches during the last hundred 7 years is double that of the worldwide average. 8 Now, part of that can be attributed 9 to the global warming that's already --10 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Nine to 15 11 inches, that's what we've experienced here --DR. GORNITZ: In the last hundred 12 years already, and what I'm about to say is that 13 this may be getting worse in the future. 14 15 Now, this is double the global average, because not only has there been this 16 historic warming, the east coast, this part of the 17 east coast is also subsiding and that is in response 18 to, it's an ongoing response to the removal of the 19 20 ice sheets, 15,000 years ago, as the land to the 21 north of us is rebounding in Canada, this area 22 subsiding. So that's adding to the global sea level. And in terms of impacts, what really matters is 23 what's happening locally. The local rise is the key 24 25 issue.

2 Now, in terms of future projections, 3 we have used both the extrapolating the historic 4 trends and a number of global climate models, and we 5 predict that in the next 80 or 90 years that sea 6 level could rise another nine to 43 inches in this area, depending on which scenario might occur. 7 8 Even the most conservative issue 9 would say that at least another, almost close to a 10 foot of sea-level rise, so anywhere from one to 11 three feet can be anticipated in the future. Furthermore, the coastal flooding 12 13 will become a greater issue. The hundred-year floods --14 15 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Could you focus, 16 like as much as we could, sort of like on the immediate issue, or to the extent of --17 18 DR. GORNITZ: All right the upshot of 19 all --20 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yes, the upshot. DR. GORNITZ: The upshot of all of 21 22 this is to say that the marshes are currently stressed both by historic sea-level rise, the 23 24 various anthropogenic factors that we've heard that 25 contribute to a sediment deficiency and possible

2 nutrient loading that may be increasing or altering 3 the ecology, in the future sea-level rise will 4 become an even greater stressor on the marsh and 5 that needs to be taken into consideration. б Now, Ellen will present more the 7 details of the marsh loss that has already occurred, that which she has documented and that which we have 8 9 done in association with our partners at the New 10 York State DEC. 11 I will just point out, in the interest of brevity, that we also did a sensitivity 12 study using these climate model projections. 13 14 Now, we don't have good data on past rates of accretion. Now, normally a marsh is in a 15 16 state of equilibrium between sea-level rise and upward growth or accretion, which has two 17 components, one is organic that of the actual growth 18 of the grasses and the vegetation, and the other is 19 20 inorganic or input of sediment. And as has been 21 pointed out by others and which is very plausible, 22 we know of the anthropogenic changes that have occurred in the bay within the last 100 years, the 23 24 building up, the urbanization of Brooklyn and Queens and Rockaways, the stabilization of the Rockaway 25

2 spit and the like, all of this has curtailed 3 sediment supply to the bay and so that this is 4 preventing the marsh from accreting as well as it 5 should under normal circumstances.

6 Now, with sea-level rise, and we have 7 assumed certain rates of accretion, and what we have 8 done in our sensitivity study, and that's listed in 9 the table in the second of the two tables that are 10 in the handout, that the negative values in Table 2 11 represent situations where the marshes are not able 12 to keep pace with sea-level rise. Whereas the 13 positive numbers indicate where they are.

So, the point is that if accretion 14 rates were high enough, the marshes could maintain 15 themselves under moderate increases of sea-level 16 rise, and they would go under only in the 17 circumstances of the most extreme rise in sea level. 18 19 The fact that they are already 20 disappearing I think is a good indication that they 21 are not getting enough sediment, that that seems to 22 point to that as one of the most important factors in marsh loss. Not the only factor but an important 23 24 one.

And the other thing that I would

25

2 point out is that we also have observed in our field 3 studies the fact that there's encroaching of the sea 4 lettuce onto the marsh mud flats, which have 5 replaced a lot of the grassland, and also the 6 concentration, the unusual concentrations of the 7 mussels, and propose that perhaps these may be 8 indicators of marsh subsidance, rather than a cause 9 of the actual marsh loss itself.

10 So, in other words, the effect, the consequence rather than the cause. And then another 11 12 thing that we want to point out is that also from the combined aerial photo analysis field studies and 13 aerial survey overflight, we also find that the 14 current, although we do see evidence of slumping and 15 erosion along the edges of the marsh, it seems that 16 the deterioration in the interior, the expansion of 17 the tidal pools and the like do seem to be more 18 significant at this point. 19

20 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you. Thank
21 you very much. I understand that some of your
22 colleagues have got testimony to sort of expand
23 upon?
24 Dr. Hartig, right?

25 DR. HARTIG: Yes. My name is Ellen

1 COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 2 Kracauer Hartig. I want to check that everyone has a 3 copy of the testimony because I'll be referring to 4 the photographs. 5 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yes. 6 DR. HARTIG: Thank you. 7 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Is your 8 testimony going to be an attempt to sort of document 9 what has happened and what is happening? 10 DR. HARTIG: Yes, to describe the 11 mechanisms of the marsh loss. CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Briefly, okay? 12 Because we all know that there's problem, we're 13 trying to figure out a way to get it fixed, and 14 anything that you can say that would shed some light 15 16 on how we can, you know, mitigate some of this, that 17 would be to the extent that there are practical 18 recommendations or that kind of thing, that would be 19 great. DR. HARTIG: Okay, I just want to 20 start by saying one of the key factors has been the 21 22 analysis of the historic photos and the comparison 23 of them. 24 At the beginning, when we first got

25 our photography back and saw the results, I went to

2 National Park Service and to New York State DEC and 3 showed them the marsh loss that we were doing at the 4 time New York State DEC was starting to remap the 5 wetlands of New York State for regulatory purposes, 6 and part of the work that they did was because of 7 the work that we brought to them, and this was published in the Wetlands Journal in 2002, but was 8 9 based on work starting in 1998.

10 Now, I think it is important to understand some of the mechanisms of the marsh loss 11 12 in order to best find ways to restore the marshes, and it was I think the work of Dan Mundy as the 13 local person who was trying to bring this to the 14 attention of the National Park Service, was also 15 16 important to have work published so that it gained scientific merit, and between all of us it really 17 did get the attention of everyone. 18

19 So, if you would just turn to the 20 photos on page -- it's right after page five, this 21 was the first aerial photograph that we, some of 22 them that we ordered, this is a small portion of the 23 first photographs. And, so, what you have here, and 24 why I think it's so important that people understand 25 this and not just jump and say, yes, we have a

2 problem and we must fix it, is to understand some of these mechanisms, and in this 1959 to 1998 3 4 photograph, if you run your eye from point A to 5 point B you can really see the gouging out of the 6 marsh along the edge. If you bring your eye to C and 7 see the channels, there's also the widening of the 8 channel, and then next page is the New York State 9 DEC source map that everyone is quoting, so it may help the audience to have that kind of evidence, and 10 11 there you can see from 1924 to the present some of 12 the problems.

One thing that Vivien and I have discussed is if you look at the northern end, you will see that there is more of each marsh, you can see there's more eating out to the north than to the south.

18 Also, one issue is that are the 19 marshes, the smallest marshes disappearing the 20 fastest?

21 So, if you go to Elders Point Marsh 22 on the northwest part, all that is left is the part 23 that has the vertical lines, and if you compare that 24 to the largest marsh is Jo Co, you see much more 25 loss in the small islands. So, one question is, are

2 the smaller islands disappearing faster than the 3 larger.

4 So, again, this kind of analysis will 5 be important in determining the best way to remedy 6 the situation. Do we give up on the smallest marshes 7 or do we try to go right to them and is there still 8 time to do some restoration in those marshes.

9 If you turn to figure 3, again, to go 10 through these mechanisms, and we tried to categorize 11 and classify these mechanisms, in figure 3, in 12 Yellow Bar Hassock, this is, in the shoreline edge 13 of the island you can see a large slumped piece of 14 peat that has fallen down, and that becomes 15 submerged during high tide.

16 In the next figure, figure 4, there 17 is erosion of the low marsh. You can see sort of 18 shelving, or a ledge that has formed where the peat 19 no longer has the marsh growing on it.

20 So that is where the underlying peat, 21 that became exposed, and will eventually become part 22 of the channel, of the Yellow Bar Hassock.

Figure 5 is in the internal part of the marsh. This was taken when we were doing a biomass study on the vegetation, and here you can

2 see the patchiness and you can see large tidal pools 3 that we believe are coalescing to form larger and 4 larger pools, and in the bottom figure six, is the 5 helicopter view of Yellow Bar Hassock, and you can 6 note that there is a part of marsh fragmentation in 7 the marsh and a die-back within the marsh.

8 So, I'd like to just go tell you that in figure 3 are the results of our biomass study. We 9 did first the aerial photo analysis, and then we 10 went into the field looking for these mechanisms 11 that had been written up in Great Britain, whether 12 they have the same situation in some of their 13 marshes, and then we did a biomass study in the 14 vegetation. Every 50 feet we measured how much the 15 16 grasses weighed in a quarter meter plot. 17 We found that the grasses are actually growing as well as could be expected for 18 this region. It's important to note that the marshes 19 20 are, where they're growing they are thriving. 21 For future research needs, I list 22 those on page three. For further research it's urgent to establish causes of marsh loss, and this 23 24 should happen simultaneous to the pilot studies that can go on on to how to restore marshes in this 25

2 region, and I'll add that where there's much more 3 experience in restoring marshes is to our south in 4 Louisiana and Florida, the Army Corps often goes in 5 with dredge spoil and plants marshes.

6 In the New York area there's much 7 more experience in restoring marshes where you 8 remove fill material and then you plant, so you're 9 bringing down the elevation to where marshes can 10 thrive. But we have little experience in 11 establishing marshes, where there's already the 12 surface is below the water level.

So, this will be new for us, and we need to look to our southern neighbors for their sexperience.

16 So, with further research we need to 17 know why has the marsh loss accelerated in recent 18 decades, which of the factors discussed by us or 19 others are the most significant historic sea-level 20 rise, a sediment deficit, excess nutrient levels in 21 the bay or others.

In addition to the surface elevation tables, the sets that the USGS recently placed in the marsh and soil cores that need to be cored still. Long-term tide gauge stations should be set

2 up to monitor future sea level change. Biomass 3 studies should be continued to track the viability 4 of Spartina alterniflora. Vegetation should be 5 monitored to compare sediment spray sites and their б control areas both before and after the application. Trial vegetation planting should be included as soon 7 8 as possible in restoration of the marshes. 9 Priorities should be established for acquiring 10 additional sites where inland migration of the marshes can take place to compensate for future sea 11 level rise. 12 Thank you for your consideration and 13 14 effort. 15 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you very much. I appreciate your testimony. 16 17 We'll just have everyone speak on the panel, then we'll ask questions of folks, questions 18 that might be specific to your testimony. 19 20 Dr. Swanson. Dr. Swanson, I have a 21 little confession to make here, I'm a Stonybrook 22 alum, and Dr. Swanson and his good folks at the Waste Management Institute and the Research Center 23 24 at Stonybrook are great friends of mine, and Larry 25 is a great friend of mine, and so I'm happy to have

2 you here today. And I welcome your testimony. 3 DR. SWANSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 4 It's nice to see you in chairmancy. 5 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you. б DR. SWANSON: I'm Larry Swanson, and 7 I'm Director of the Waste Reduction Management 8 Institute at Marine Sciences Research Center, it's 9 State University at Stonybrook, and I appreciate the 10 opportunity to speak to you a little bit about my 11 belief concerning wetlands marsh or wetlands loss in 12 Jamaica Bay. Certainly one of the things that 13 everybody has concluded is that Jamaica Bay of today 14 is not what Jamaica Bay was a century ago, and in 15 fact dredging has actually created some 70 percent 16 of the present marsh, or the present bay volume. 17 18 It has been noted before also by others that DEC has observed about 400 acres of 19 20 marsh loss in Jamaica Bay between 1974 and '94. Much 21 of this loss I think can be attributed to 22 significant operations that have occurred over the 23 past century in the bay's watershed, its topography, 24 it's hydrography and its circulation. 25 The historic mean depth of Jamaica

2 Bay was about three feet, today it's about 16 feet. 3 The navigational channels today is as deep as 40 4 feet along some portions of the bay. 5 The shoreline has been hardened and б steepened, manmade features, such as the Belt 7 Parkway, Floyd Bennett Field, JFK Airport have 8 replaced the natural wetland perimeter of the bay. 9 In addition to that there are three 10 landfills that also line the bay. 11 There is no longer any significant 12 natural runoff to the bay. Also there is no longer any significant source of natural sedimentary 13 materials to the bay, and I believe that's one of 14 the primary issues that's confronting the wetland 15 losses of the bay. 16 17 There's an anthropogenic watershed of about 3,700 acres that drains the area. For the most 18 part that runoff is now channeled through storm 19 20 sewers and combined sewers, and it goes to tributaries where much of that sediment filters out 21 22 very quickly, and helps to contribute to some 23 hypoxic conditions in those tributaries. 24 Also, I think it's important to note 25 that circulation has changed in part because of the

2 addition of water pollution control plants, and in 3 fact the effluent from the plants today is about 4 double that of the natural surplus runoff. 5 Physical alterations to the bay have б had pronounced impacts on the circulation, and as a 7 consequence the availability, transport and 8 distribution of sediment. 9 Among the most important changes are 10 those associated with the tides, tidal currents and flushing time. These changes in many locations are 11 directly associated with reduced frictional drag, as 12 water ebbs and floods throughout the dredged harbor. 13 I've tabulated some of the changes 14 that have been reported by the National Oceanic and 15 16 Atmospheric Administration concerning tides and the table that's attached. 17 18 One can easily see the influence of the physical changes in the bay by looking at the 19 20 tidal changes that have taken place. 21 The mean range of tide, the 22 difference between the mean high water and low water at Rockaway inlet has increased from four feet to 23 4.9 feet over the last century. At Canarsie the mean 24 25 range has increased a foot, at Norton Point the

2 range has increased 1.6 feet from 3.8 feet in 1899,3 to 5.4 feet today.

4 Changes in the vertical reference 5 data of mean water, which haven't been accounting 6 for sea level rise, suggests that the bay as a 7 whole, the increased tidal ranges are resulted in a 8 lowering of low waters and a raising of the high 9 waters. Thus, independent of sea-level rises, the 10 marshes are now flooded more at high tide, and 11 exposed more at low tide compared to early in the 12 20th century.

The affect of channel dredging is 13 also realized by a change in current velocity. The 14 1904 US Coast Pilot, which is essentially the 15 sailing directions for the United States, states 16 17 that the currents in Rockaway Inlet were about 0.9 18 knots on flood and 1.7 knots on ebb. Today the means of the maximum speeds are predicted to be 1.8 knots 19 20 on flood and 2.7 knots on ebb. The maximum currents 21 at full and new moon could be as much as 20 percent 22 greater.

Thus, there is roughly 100 percent increase in the maximum flood, and a 59 percent increase in the maximum ebb relative to 1904.

2 The increase in speed on ebb is 3 particularly significant, because larger particles 4 and a greater number of particles may be removed 5 from the bay, compared to what had been going on in 6 the past. The high velocity currents also tend to 7 erode the marsh edges.

8 The relatively deep eastern basin of 9 the bay is now a sediment trap. In this case, 10 reduced circulation in Grassy Bay caused by dredging 11 and construction of Cross Bay Boulevard and the JFK 12 International Airport runway extension creates an 13 environment where sediments tend to settle in the 14 deeps rather than being distributed over the marsh 15 surfaces.

In conclusion, in hindsight, I think 16 it's not surprising that we're seeing the marshes 17 from Jamaica Bay disappearing. Of course, being the 18 Monday Morning Quarterback is always a luxury. But 19 virtually all the manmade alternations to the bay 20 contribute to the loss. The natural sediment supply 21 22 that is essential to maintain the marshes has nearly ceased to exist because of the hardening of the 23 watershed and the design of the storm sewers and the 24 combined sewer overflows that drain into the fringes 25

2 of the bay.

3 In other parts of the bay, deep 4 dredged basins serve as sediment traps that further 5 deprive the marshes of a source of material by which 6 they are maintained.

7 The dredging of navigational channels 8 has reduced friction so that the tidal flows are 9 less impeded than in the past. Tidal ranges have 10 increased. Marsh surfaces are flooded more on high 11 waters and exposed more on low. Current speeds are 12 greater than in the past, thus more effectively 13 removing sediments that are in the bay to the ocean. 14 According to NOAA, the ebb is stronger than the 15 flood in the main channel so that there is a net 16 loss of sediment to the bay.

17 Large and high speed vessels can now 18 maneuver in the bay because the navigational 19 channels that have been dredged, vessel wakes may 20 also be a very effective way to destabilize marsh 21 surfaces and edges eventually leading to slumping, 22 that though we saw pictures of previously along the 23 fringes of the marsh.

24 And then, of course, on top of all of 25 this is the long-term problem of sea-level rise.

2 As far as recommendations, I offer 3 the following: 4 I think that the control of vessel 5 wakes in the bay or essentially the speed of vessels б in the bay have to be more closely watched and 7 controlled. 8 I think you need to consider filling 9 in some of the deep basins that have been dredged in 10 the eastern bay. 11 Certainly I think there is the opportunity of using dredged material, or other 12 sedimentary material creatively to explore 13 alternative sources of sediments to the marshes. 14 15 I think you need to explore 16 environmentally acceptable ways of stabilizing marsh edges, and more importantly perhaps -- well, not 17 more importantly, but certainly you need to 18 understand the hydronamics of the bay and the 19 20 sediment dynamics of the bay better than we know 21 them today. And that concludes my comments, sir. 22 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you. Thank 23 you very much, Dr. Swanson, I appreciate your great 24 contribution and your comprehensive testimony. Thank 25 you.

2 Dr. Franz, thank you for being here. 3 I appreciate all the work that you've done. I've 4 heard so much about you, and I look forward to the 5 wisdom that you bring to the table. 6 You've got to push that button. 7 DR. FRANZ: David Franz, Professor of 8 Biology, Brooklyn College. I'm a member of the Blue Ribbon Committee. I've been working on salt marshes 9 10 in Jamaica Bay since about 1990. And so many of the 11 comments that have been made today, especially in the last few minutes, I agree with whole-heartedly, 12 so I can reduce the size of this testimony quite a 13 bit. 14 15 I would like to say that it's clearly been recognized now that there is increasing 16 submergence of salt marshes, including significant 17 loss of marshes throughout the southern New England 18 area, and this is generally correlated with rising 19 20 sea level. 21 As regards to marshes in New York, I 22 would say also that the consequence of the work done 23 by the State DEC, it's now clear that rates of 24 erosion and marsh loss are much greater than we 25 originally thought.

2 If you look at their data for the 3 losses of salt marsh in the estuaries on the south 4 shore, I calculated some numbers from their data, 5 and I get an average for all of those estuaries of б about 2.8 percent per year, that's a relative marsh loss. If you compare that to Jamaica Bay at 2.4, you 7 can see that we're basically in the same ball park 8 9 as some of the estuaries on the south shore. 10 And the one feature that all of these areas have in common is sea level rise, so I think 11 that I would agree with Ellen and others who have 12 commented on the importance of sea level rise. 13 14 Most marsh ecologists, however, that are familiar with Jamaica Bay suspect that there are 15 16 other factors in play here. Larry Swanson just mentioned about 17 ten possible factors. If you add all the others that 18 we have heard about today, including the ones that 19 were suggested by the Blue Ribbon Committee and 20 21 those just mentioned by Ellen a few minutes ago, 22 there's quite a bit of overlap, but basically we're talking about ten or 12 possible factors influencing 23 24 marsh loss in addition to sea level rise. Scientists 25 have a problem with this kind of an issue. If we

2 have in effect here marsh loss, we have seven or 3 eight or ten possible causes, it's going to take a 4 number of years to sort these out, and it's going to 5 take additional research, and in the meantime I know 6 that things, we need to get started and try to solve 7 some of these problems.

8 So, what I would suggest to your 9 Committee and to all of the agencies that are engaged here, I have two suggestions: First, as has 10 been mentioned by Dr. Swanson and others, sediment 11 12 supply has been mentioned a number of times as a possible major factor, it's possible that our 13 14 marshes that are starving because of various modifications, physical changes in the bay and so 15 16 forth.

It's interesting, however, that most 17 of the marsh losses have occurred since 1974, and 18 I'm not aware that there have been major physical 19 20 changes in Jamaica Bay since that time. However, I 21 think that the first thing that I would recommend to 22 all of the agencies involved here is to generate or create a model, a sediment model for Jamaica Bay. 23 It's a model that has to be tied in with the water 24 quality models that are now finished, and it has to 25

2 be good enough to predict the sources and the sinks 3 of sediments and what the possible effects of 4 modifications of the existing physical structure of 5 the bay might have on shifts in sedimentation rates 6 and so forth.

7 Secondly, something that's not been 8 mentioned too much today is the fact that Jamaica 9 Bay suffers from enormous nitrogen loading. It's a 10 highly atrophic system. There may be indirect 11 effects of nitrogen loading on salt marshes, and 12 this is one of those areas where there are a number 13 of possibilities but very little evidence.

Ellen mentioned the effects of sea Ellen mentioned the effects of sea lettuce, wrack, and what I would suggest also is the possibility that the enormous populations of ribbed mussels in Jamaica Bay are also promoted and sustained by the enormous plankton production in Jamaica Bay which is an indirect result of nitrogen loading.

21 So, another thing that I would like 22 to see done as soon as possible is to have some of 23 the agencies work together to make a complete study 24 of the distribution's abundance of ribbed mussel 25 populations in Jamaica Bay.

2 Finally, I would say that I notice 3 that there are a number of major studies and 4 activities on the drawing board for marsh 5 construction in Jamaica, sediment deposition, marsh 6 construction and so forth. What we've learned about 7 artificial marshes in the last 20 to 30 years, is 8 that it takes at least ten to 20 years after a marsh 9 has been constructed to determine whether or not 10 that new marsh is actually achieving original 11 ecosystem functions. So, one of the important things 12 is that we don't forget about keeping track of these 13 studies, finding the funding to monitor these 14 projects so that we get, actually get the 15 appropriate bang for the buck that goes into to 16 paying for these. I think that's all I have to say. 17 Thank you. 18 19 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you. Thank 20 you, Dr. Franz. I appreciate that. And Dr. Paul Mankiewicz. Dr. 21 22 Mankiewicz, another long-time friend of Jim. So, thanks for being here today, I appreciate it. Okay, 23 24 I've got this. 25 DR. MANKIEWICZ: There's pictures in

2 this one, if you want to look at here. Again, the 3 critical and fundamental issue is sediment budget. 4 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: State your name 5 for the record. 6 DR. MANKIEWICZ: I'm Dr. Paul 7 Mankiewicz, I'm the Executive Director of the Gaia Institute, an ecological engineering not-for-profit 8 9 corporation, and also on the New York City Salt and 10 Water Conservation District Jamaica Bay Task Force, 11 Penn and Fountain Avenue Landfill Technical Budget 12 Committee and other roles.

The critical issue in Jamaica Bay is 13 14 what we don't know about sediment budget. There are 15 historic losses, as you can see in the pictures 16 here. There are enormous, a thousand or so feet inland, and more linear miles along the bay's edge. 17 The marshes have been filled. There are marsh 18 islands which have been lost and well documented at 19 20 this point by folks at the table here, as well as 21 DEC.

The historic losses are an issue because the capacity of the bay to work biogeochemically depends upon the area of marshes and that's substantially diminished. So, what we

2 would like to look at besides the loss of marshes 3 themselves, is also the loss of sediments, also the 4 lack of creek inputs again mentioned here, which 5 probably were hydrological regulators of some of the 6 shoreline behavior, noted before Kennedy Airport and at Floyd Bennett Field and were built from sediments 7 in the bay, leaving deep holes and changing the 8 9 hydrology, which is an enormous problem still 10 probably but hasn't been characterized. We don't have real time measures of the dissolved oxygen 11 content within these borrow pits, and we should have 12 that, the Army Corps should supply those. 13 So, let me just jump to the 14 conclusion -- actually, one other point. The 50,000 15 16 pounds, or thereabouts, of nitrogen put into Jamaica Bay each day, somewhat less but in that range from 17 DEP, if you look at that in context, a salt marsh 18 from work of Teal (phonetic) and also White and 19 20 Howell (phonetic) and others, salt marsh can remove 21 by denitrification something like 50 pounds of

22 nitrogen per acre per year, so you can see the 23 thousand acres we lost in the last 70 years is 24 really one day's nitrogen load, and if it doesn't go 25 into marshes and isn't denitrofied, it goes into

2 algae and the plankton has secondary impacts, which 3 we may not want to be able to deal with, we may not 4 be able to deal with. It would take marshes of about 5 500 square miles to remove the total loads, we're 6 not going to have that in Jamaica Bay ever, we never 7 have, so the issue is that Dan Mundy brought up 8 earlier, substantially lowering nitrate, nitrogen 9 loading all together to the bay.

10 We can't wait, on the other hand, but 11 what has been suggested by this panel, and I think 12 has not been on the table in any basic way from 13 National Park, we need hypothesis-driven large scale 14 restoration throughout the bay that matches the 15 losses.

Right now the DEP is looking at 16 closing Penn and Fountain Avenue landfills. They 17 might be building 30 acres of marsh on the shoreward 18 side. We actually have to start to construct marshes 19 20 and investigate the different scenarios of marsh 21 accretion and marsh loss from a restoration 22 environment where we don't end up after five years of research or ten years or research with an 23 24 enormous net loss that has no marsh islands to 25 study.

2 And the scale of the attempt so far 3 has been too little and too late. We need much, much 4 larger approaches, we need DEC to recognize that 5 building a marsh is not merely habitat displacement, 6 it's a way of actually increasing habitat interaction, that should be clearly studied, set out 7 as a hypothesis for the construction of the marshes, 8 9 and created as a state regional and national mode 10 for understanding the interaction between the sediment budget, the nitrogen budget and the 11 12 hydrolics and marsh growth and development, which has not been done anywhere but needs to be done here 13 if we're going to have a resource to protect. 14 15 Thank you. CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you. 16 Is there anybody here from National 17 Parks? Anyone here from National Parks? Okay. 18 19 Yes, just a couple of questions. 20 So, we have all this interesting 21 science. We have the effective sea-level change, and 22 the sediment budget and the dredging and all that and the loss of the marshes and the need to create a 23 sediment model and look at the mussel populations 24 25 and all that. Are you folks, and perhaps I have a

2 little bit of an ignorance of the process that's 3 taking place to date, but with regard to this 4 production of this Jamaica Bay report which is due 5 to be released in the spring, some sort of action 6 plan or whatever, are you all part of that whole 7 effort and to what extent has the -- you know, certainly anything we do in this endeavor should be 8 9 science driven to the extent possible, and to the extent that we have time to sort of wait for the 10 science. Sometimes we know we just should be doing 11 12 things and we should get out there and we should restore wetlands while we can and DEP should do its 13 efforts to do the underground CSO tanks and to try 14 to cap the landfill, and Parks should try to do what 15 they do. But to what extent is this sort of pure 16 science making its way into the discussions of 17 action plans for what's going on? Because I know the 18 state is doing some projects, they're doing some, 19 20 the state has their projects the Corps of Engineers 21 has their project, to what extent is there a linkage 22 or is there like a nexus between the science that you all are doing and to what is actually going on 23 24 in the bay, and is there a framework for incorporating your areas of scientific expertise and 25

1 COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 2 into plans for the bay, and is this part of what 3 this Jamaica Bay report is going to be all about? 4 And I just throw that out to anybody who has a 5 knowledge of the process. б Sorry for the long and 7 incomprehensible question, but I'm not as smart as 8 you all, so I did my best. 9 DR. HARTIG: It was news to me today 10 that there will be this report in the spring. I have 11 not been part of that. CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: See, we're 12 13 making progress already. Okay? You know what I mean? 14 We're bringing the stakeholders in. 15 DR. SWANSON: I was not aware of it 16 either. 17 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay. 18 DR. GORNITZ: Neither was I. 19 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: All right. Okay. DR. MANKIEWICZ: I had no idea. 20 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: You had no idea? 21 22 Well, you see, we're going to make something happen 23 here. 24 So, Dan, you know what you're doing 25 right?

2 MR. MUNDY: Right. 3 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: You're taking 4 this back home? 5 We think it's a good idea, though, 6 right? So, maybe if there is some way to sort of 7 carve out. And here I am stepping on Billy's turf 8 again. What's Billy's last name again? 9 MR. LYNN: Is it Garrett. 10 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Superintendent Garrett I should call him on the record, I guess. 11 It's nice of me to invite people to his party, but I 12 guess something that sounds like it might make some 13 sense, and why don't I just sort of forge policy 14 right here. I'm going to direct the Counsel to the 15 16 Committee to come up with a list of recommendations that come out of this hearing, and perhaps it might 17 make a whole lot of good sense to have some of the 18 scientific folks to be involved in this Jamaica Bay 19 report, because that report, I guess at some point 20 21 it's ultimately going to be tied to some sort of 22 action plan.

23 Oh, are any of you involved in and 24 have close relationships with entities that are 25 actually doing projects on the bay, like the Corps

2 of Engineers, they're like doing the projects, and 3 Parks Department and DEP. I know Paul is connected 4 to DEP, right? 5 DR. MANKIEWICZ: The Habitat б Committees and the like, I hear about those works, 7 that's right. That's the main mechanism that they come across, and really this is a different kind of 8 9 function. We haven't really worked out the 10 relationships between the structural improvements 11 you might make in an ecosystem and how they function, and that's what's at hand, that's the 12 13 problem at hand in Jamaica Bay, and those issues haven't really been discussed in the broad sweep of 14 aims for Jamaica Bay at this point. 15 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I'm just trying 16 to see to what extent there is a connection between 17 sort of the, you know, action doers and the 18 scientists here. It would be nice to create some 19 20 sort of connection there. And, so, all of you that have 21 22 endeavored to look at this issue, it really it's on 23 your own and not really in connection with the Corps 24 of Engineers or DEP or Parks? 25 DR. FRANZ: The National Park Service

1 COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 2 has a science committee that has advised them I 3 believe on these activities, so they're not totally 4 without scientific --5 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right. Science 6 committee made up of academics and --7 DR. FRANZ: It's like the Blue Ribbon 8 Committee extended into the future. It's a different committee, but there is a committee of outside 9 10 scientists that are helping the park service, I 11 couldn't tell you anymore about that. CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Now, the Blue 12 13 Ribbon Committee, on which you serve, right, Dr. 14 Franz? 15 DR. FRANZ: Yes, that's right. 16 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: And their 17 mission was to look at the wetlands loss or 18 whatever? 19 DR. FRANZ: Their mission was to 20 review the available information that existed at the 21 time which included Ellen's report, the State DEC 22 report --23 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I see. I see. 24 DR. FRANZ: And to come up with 25 possible explanations to account for this and to

2 make some suggestions for initial mitigation 3 actions. 4 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Larry, was your 5 work looked at by the Blue Ribbon Panel? 6 DR. SWANSON: I have no idea. Most of 7 my work has been really dealing with City water 8 quality issues, rather than wetlands, marsh loss per se, I just don't know. 9 10 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Oh, I see. 11 DR. HARTIG: I believe that the Blue 12 Ribbon Panel was convened in part because of the 13 community outcry, but also because the National Park Service at the time doubted the validity of the 14 photography that I was bringing, and also DEC. 15 16 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Oh, I see. 17 DR. HARTIG: So it was very much to see if they agreed, and I believe their findings 18 said yes, and if anything, these people have been 19 20 guite conservative. CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Sure. 21 22 So, the Blue Ribbon Panel had the 23 benefit of your work, right? 24 DR. HARTIG: Not to my knowledge. I 25 was not --

2 DR. FRANZ: They did. They had the 3 benefit of your work. 4 DR. HARTIG: Okay. 5 DR. FRANZ: You had an unpublished 6 paper at the time, now it's subsequently been 7 published, but that was available, as well as the 8 State DEC survey. 9 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: How about your 10 stuff, Paul? Did the Blue Ribbon Panel have your 11 stuff? DR. MANKIEWICZ: I don't believe so. 12 13 Most of that was in the hands of agencies and the 14 like, so it was not in the literature they would 15 have gotten their hands on. CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Now the Blue 16 17 Ribbon Panel has completed its mandate, and it's no longer in existence now, right? 18 19 DR. FRANZ: As I understand it now there's a brand new committee. There may be some 20 overlap in membership, but those of us who were 21 22 actually engaged in research in Jamaica Bay were 23 asked not to be a part of the Blue Ribbon Committee. 24 So, I can't tell you much more about that. 25 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Oh, I see.

1 COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

2 DR. FRANZ: Billy Garrett would have 3 to explain. 4 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right. And there 5 is a scientific committee, and this is what you're б referring to, the new scientific committee? 7 DR. FRANZ: That's right, yes. 8 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: And that's under 9 Superintendent Garrett, right? 10 DR. FRANZ: Yes. And that's ongoing, 11 as far as I know. I don't know who the members of 12 that Committee are, but there is a committee. CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I see. Well, I 13 think certainly we should endeavor to bring to the 14 attention of this new scientific committee some of 15 the good work which is going on by our panelists 16 here, and we will endeavor to do that. 17 18 Is there anything that, you know, you're all here and have your testimony and in 19 20 listening to other people is there anything that you 21 heard from any of the other witnesses on the panel 22 that like surprised you? Do you want to question each other? I don't want to turn this into a whole 23 24 big scientific conference or whatever, but I'm 25 curious as to whether or not anything that was said

2 was like a surprise to anybody that was here or 3 whatever? 4 Don't be shy. 5 DR. GORNITZ: I just wanted to say б that I was very interested in the work that Dr. 7 Swanson did in mentioning the expansion of the tidal 8 range and the erosive action that that has caused. 9 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay. His number is 631 -- it's area code 631. That's all I know. 10 11 DR. MANKIEWICZ: I can say the same 12 thing, actually that was very good to hear. We had put in a proposal with John Tenicredi (phonetic) and 13 some of the folks from Poly Technic years ago, and 14 all of that information wasn't in the fore at the 15 time, and it's been a very substantial contribution 16 to our understanding of the dynamics in the Jamaica 17 Bay, and it's better to work with real information 18 as opposed to misinformation. 19 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right. What do 20 21 we think might be some kind of intelligent way, if I 22 was to make some recommendations for how science could best be employed in the future decisions and 23 for the bay I guess it would be under the aegis of 24

25 the scientific committee? I guess the scientific

2 committee would be the one. Dr. Franz, I guess 3 having no one else here from the Parks Department 4 can speak to it? I mean, you at least have some 5 sense of how this is. 6 DR. FRANZ: Well, I don't know exactly 7 how to answer that, but I do believe that it would 8 be useful to have scientists from outside, as well as from in the various agencies communicating with 9 each other, at least fighting with each other, if 10 11 necessary, over what the proper sequence of steps 12 would be. We all have our different opinions about this. 13 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: And if anything, 14 if people were at least brought in the loop on this 15 16 Jamaica Bay report on this process, would that be 17 helpful? 18 DR. FRANZ: I think that would be, 19 yes. 20 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Paul. 21 DR. MANKIEWICZ: Obviously from the 22 controversy or the iteration of the numbers of 23 mechanisms and regulators involved, there's a huge 24 complexity that surrounds this. But I think we 25 shouldn't confuse ourselves by the fact that we need

2 to research those, but we also address the scale of 3 the loss. The hypotheses have to be set at proper 4 scale. We don't want to give the resource up because 5 we're looking at these mechanisms that operate at 6 various scales. We actually have to see what we can 7 do about something like 40 acres, 50 acres a year, 8 1,000 acres over 70 years, as opposed to simply 9 documenting. It's like looking at a kidney patient and watching exactly how the kidney decays in 10 11 function, and we'd like to also see what transplants 12 might mean in this kind of circumstance. CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Sure. Sure. Yes. 13 I mean, whatever value added that science applied 14 could sort of bring to the process, yes, I think 15 16 we've got to do that. DR. FRANZ: What's important, though, 17 is we have these western islands in the bay which 18 are near sea level, they're very vulnerable to 19 rising sea level, and then we have fringing marsh 20 21 systems around the bay also that are maybe not quite 22 as vulnerable to rising sea level right now but do have other problems. 23 24 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right. DR. FRANZ: And, so, it's a real issue 25

2 here as to where the resources should be put. I 3 mean, it may not be possible to save all these 4 islands. 5 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: So, if we've got 6 to do triage we'll do it, but the science should 7 speak to that. 8 DR. HARTIG: I think one issue may be 9 that National Park Service, it's not in their 10 mandate to do research. They don't directly support 11 scientific research, and that may get in some ways in the way of them pursuing this, and this is sort 12 of new territory from them. 13 They do have access to USGS, which in 14 some ways is acting as their research branch. 15 16 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right. DR. HARTIG: And in fact USGS has come 17 in and put in what's now called surface elevation 18 tables, it used to be called sediment erosion 19 20 tables, and they have now been placed in the bay. There are a number of them, including in the area 21 22 where they'll do the pilot study for the sediment spraying. And I was out there in the marsh as a 23 24 wetland scientist and assisting with USGS, and also 25 as a volunteer, assisting to place them in the

2 marsh, and I think that they will be very helpful in 3 determining which marshes really -- it's too late to 4 do anything in, the peat is so soupy that adding 5 sediment, it will just sort of sink under the 6 surface as well on the weight of the sediment that's placed there. It may not be able to help in allowing 7 8 the marsh to elevate through its own plant material. 9 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Sure. 10 DR. HARTIG: But, so, this issue of can Parks Service do the research and lead, to be a 11 leader in research, and the restoration is still an 12 13 issue. CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: So what's the 14 answer, should this be like a national science 15 foundation thing, or the university should get 16 research grants to do this or something? I don't 17 18 know. 19 DR. HARTIG: There could be a Request 20 for Proposals by perhaps a combination of USGS and 21 National Park Service, where many scientists to ask 22 to propose on what research they would like, and at the same time, also seek consulting firms that can 23 24 do the actual restoration.

25 So, I think it will take a

2 combination, but to date I don't know that there was 3 any real Request for Proposals. The scientists that 4 could come in are those who are able to have grants 5 through their universities on the research. 6 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay. Larry, go 7 ahead. 8 DR. SWANSON: I just would like to 9 comment a little bit about modeling the system. 10 I don't maintain that I've seen any or all the models that have been developed for the 11 12 bay, but one of the things that concerns me, the ones that I have been basically done using mean 13 values, and, so, it really doesn't characterize the 14 bay, the dynamics of the bay adequately, 15 16 particularly when you're looking at differentials between what is being exported and what is being 17 imported. And I think we could show based on 18 modeling work that has been done on other Long 19 20 Island bays that you can very easily demonstrate 21 what ifs, if you dredge to certain depths, or if you 22 remove so much material, how you're going to alter the hydrodynamics and the sediment flow, and I would 23 24 encourage anybody that's building models to look 25 very carefully at what they're actually proposing to

2 do.

3 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay. 4 Paul? 5 DR. MANKIEWICZ: Along exactly the б same front, when you've got complicated 7 environments, like the interaction of hydrostatic 8 head and the organization of a mussel edge with a 9 high nutrient load and plankton load, that same kind 10 of environment could actually trap water and hold sediment -- I'm sorry, could actually maintain 11 12 sediment from being lost, as opposed to holding water and keeping the water from being low. So, the 13 hydrostatic head on the islands that are being lost, 14 that are low, was 30 centimeters, 60 centimeters 15 16 higher, it might favor marsh development because the mussels are there and that should be tested, and 17 that would be a way of looking at these small 18 islands which otherwise are a loss. So, these kinds 19 20 of things could be done if we had a large enough framework for RFP so that the interested parties 21 22 could actually get something out there. 23 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay. Here's what we're going to do: One of the recommendations 24 that we'll put forward and we'll talk about with 25

2 Superintendent Garret will be this whole sort of 3 like this science piece, and perhaps the need for 4 some sort of scientific research RFP that has 5 various components that might be worth some, more 6 thorough investigation, and synthesis with what the action part of the Jamaica Bay report is going to be 7 8 all about. 9 And, so, it could be like an RFP that 10 has different elements to it? We've got to look at 11 this, we've got to look at this, we've got to look 12 at this? Like that? 13 DR. MANKIEWICZ: A wood toll with a 14 purpose (sic). 15 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: What's that? 16 DR. MANKIEWICZ: A wood toll with a 17 purpose. 18 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay. Yes, write that down. That was catchy. Make that my quote, not 19 20 his. I'm going to steal that from Paul. 21 Donna didn't hear me say it the first 22 time. It's wood toll with a purpose. That's my new 23 phrase. Okay, all right, I'm going here. 24 25 Okay, thank you all very much. I appreciate your

2 testimony and your good work. And thanks for waiting 3 so long, and being willing to spend so much time 4 with us here at the table. 5 Thank you, also, to the witnesses to 6 follow. Certainly we all learned a lot from that

7 last panel. As a matter of fact, and staying in the
8 room for the whole thing. I, teacher at Queens
9 College, will give you course credit for staying in
10 the room.

11 And, so, we have I guess the last 12 panel that we're going to hear from today, Nellie 13 Tsipoura from NRDC; and Rose Harvey, Trust of Public 14 Land; John Pearson from the Sierra Club; Ron Bourque 15 of Audubon. Just stay for one second, I'll be right 16 back.

Thank you all very much for coming. 17 This is the last panel. You get the patience awards, 18 but you're also going to be the smartest, right? 19 You'll have all the testimony, you'll have all the 20 21 benefit of all the views that came before you, and I 22 thank you very much for your patience and for your willingness to really spend the whole day here and 23 as I said before, a great testament to your 24 commitment to the issue, and we're grateful for 25

2 that.

You know what, out of special 3 4 deference to people who are testifying, I've got to 5 give you something, right? You know what? We're not 6 going to swear these witnesses. We're not going to 7 swear these witnesses, so that will be the bonus I 8 guess. I'm just trying to thank you in my own small 9 way for being so patient. 10 So, if you would, I guess the same protocol will start this way and work that way, if 11 12 you could state your name for the record and proceed with your testimony and identify the organization 13 that you represent, and please proceed. 14 15 MS. TSIPOURA: My name is Nellie Tsipoura. I'm a Research Associate at Natural 16 Resources Defense Council. I'm working on the New 17 York Harbour Bight Project. 18 19 This project emphasizes the need to 20 preserve and protect wildlife habitat throughout the 21 New York New Jersey coastal region. 22 Jamaica Bay is part of the Gateway National Recreation Area, it's the first such urban 23 park that was created in the US 30 years ago, and 24

25 the purpose of the park is to provide present and

2 future generations outstanding natural and 3 recreational opportunities within the urban New York 4 City metropolitan area. 5 Located within an hour's drive of 10 6 million people, this expanse of marshy islands 7 interspersed with mudflats, coastal shoals and 8 shallow open water is one of the two largest 9 estuaries within the New York/New Jersey Harbor ecosystem, the other one being the Hackensack 10 11 Meadowlands. This vital wetland system provides 12

significant nursery habitat for commercially and 13 recreationally important fish and shellfish. Jamaica 14 Bay is also very important for migratory, breeding 15 and wintering birds. More than 325 species of birds 16 have been observed in the Jamaica Bay complex, 17 including breeding populations of Piping Plovers, 18 Roseate Terns, Least Terns and Common Terns, and 19 20 migrating Peregrine Falcons, Bald Eagles and 21 Northern Harriers. The Jamaica Bay complex has been 22 designated an Important Bird Area by the National Audubon Society, and it is a site of regional 23 24 importance in the Western Hemisphere Shorebird 25 Reserve Network.

2 In addition to the wildlife value, 3 Jamaica Bay marshes improve water quality by 4 removing nutrients, sediments and toxins from the 5 water. They also buffer and protect local 6 communities from the impact of winds and waves. 7 These important wetlands have 8 diminished greatly in the past 30 years. A November 9 2000 study released by the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation shows that between 10 1974 and 1994, a 20-year period, 500 acres of 11 Jamaica Bay wetlands were lost; between 1994 and 12 1999, a five-year period, 300 acres were lost. 13 14 These recent accelerated marsh losses at Jamaica Bay imply an imbalance in the 15 16 equilibrium, between sea level rise and the build-up of marsh. Lack of an adequate sediment supply due to 17 human disturbances such as dredging of navigation 18 channels, creation of borrow pits, and urbanization 19 20 have probably contributed to this imbalance. 21 Excess nutrient loading in the bay 22 from nearby sewage treatment plants have resulted in greater abundances of sea lettuce and in unusually 23 high populations of ribbed mussels that alter the 24 drainage patterns on marsh islands; and both of 25

2 these may impact the health of the marsh vegetation 3 and result in marsh loss. 4 Further, salt marshes of Jamaica Bay 5 may be lost because the rises in sea level have б outpaced the marsh accretion. 7 Regardless of the causes, at the 8 current rate of attrition, most of the salt marsh islands could disappear --9 10 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I'm sorry to interrupt. I just have to take an urgent call for a 11 second, someone is on the phone. I'll just be a 12 13 minute. Ordinarily she knows where I am and would 14 not disturb me unless it was something important, so let me just go. I'll be right back. 15 16 (Recess taken.) CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I apologize. I'm 17 also shutting my cellphone off, and I'm not taking 18 any calls from anybody. I apologize. Forgive me. 19 MS. TSIPOURA: Get back to where I 20 21 was? 22 Regardless of the causes, at the current rate of attrition most of the salt marsh 23 24 islands could disappear within the next 20 years. 25 This is a critical issue that cannot be adequately

addressed unless all agencies interested in Jamaica
 Bay work together.

4 We commend the interagency team that 5 includes the Army Corps of Engineers, the New York 6 State Department of Environmental Conservation, New 7 York City Parks, New York City DEP, New York 8 Department of State, and Gateway National Recreation Area for their efforts in identifying a plan of 9 10 action. 11 We support continued involvement of these federal, state and city agencies in the 12 process of not only further defining but also 13 implementing this plan. 14

15 We urge the US Fish and Wildlife Service to provide their technical expertise by 16 joining these efforts. In addition, since JFK 17 Airport may have negatively impacted the 18 hydrodynamics of the bay and may still be affecting 19 20 the health of the wetlands, we strongly urge the 21 Port Authority to participate in this process. 22 We applaud the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation and the 23 Army Corps of Engineers for obtaining the bond act 24 25 restoration funds and the CAP funds needed for a

2 pilot study of how the loss of marshes can be 3 reversed.

We cannot emphasize enough the
urgency and the need for this project to stay on
track to start early in 2004.

7 The marsh is shrinking and we need to 8 do something about it now. Simultaneous research on 9 sediment budgets and sea level rise also needs to be 10 supported to determine what factors trigger the loss 11 of marsh.

However, while these projects will however, while these projects will provide useful scientific and technical insight on how to deal with the problem, they will not in themselves suffice in stopping and reversing the deterioration of this ecosystem. Additional monies need to be obtained to enable us to move beyond a pilot project to a full restoration plan for Jamaica Bay wetlands.

20 We thank Congressman Weiner for his 21 work that has been instrumental in moving this 22 project forward. We urge Senators Clinton and 23 Schumer to also take an active role in preserving 24 these wetlands.

25 I would like to take this opportunity

2 to thank the City Council for taking an interest in 3 this important issue as demonstrated by holding this 4 hearing, and urge you to continue to support the 5 work of New York City, DEP and Parks in cleaning up б and restoring Jamaica Bay. 7 Jamaica Bay is an oasis in the middle 8 of urban New York, a wildlife area that attracts people from throughout the nation, but is also 9 10 accessible by City bus and subway. It is a great 11 place to enjoy wilderness in the shadow of skyscrapers. Let's make sure that our children and 12 13 grandchildren continue to enjoy it. 14 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Here here. I'm 15 for that. Okay, thank you for your testimony. I 16 17 will hear all testimony and then we will ask questions. Thank you. 18 19 Ron. MR. BOURQUE: My name is Ronald 20 21 Bourque. I'm representing the New York City Autubon 22 Society. I'm the member of the Conservation 23 Committee of that chapter. 24 The New York City Audubon Society is 25 a chapter of the National Audubon Society with a

2 membership of about 8,000 that includes all five
3 boroughs of the City. New York City Audubon Society
4 is committed to protect and preserve wildlife and
5 wildlife habitats in New York City.

6 The chapter established in 1979 has 7 long recognized the intrinsic value of Jamaica Bay 8 as habitat for marine and avian wildlife. Despite 9 the many assaults of our industrial culture upon 10 this ecosystem, Jamaica Bay continues to host a 11 impressive diversity of migratory, breeding and 12 resident birds and marine life.

While much of Jamaica Bay was 13 protected as a wildlife refuge within the Gateway 14 15 National Recreation Area, the surrounding marshes and adjacent uplands outside the refuge boundaries 16 were heavily caused by dumping and polluting junk 17 yards. The Trust for Public Land and the New York 18 City Audubon Society saw the need to secure these 19 edge areas as a buffer to Jamaica Bay in order to 20 21 protect its water quality and restore wildlife 22 habitat. TPL and NYCAS compiled a list of undeveloped buffer sites with recommendations for 23 24 preservation.

25 The first Buffer the Bay report was

2 issued in 1987 and a second report, Buffer the Bay 3 revisited, came out in 1992. Four of the recommended 4 sites, four of the sites recommended for 5 preservation and remediation in the Buffer the Bay 6 reports have been acquired by the New York City 7 Department of Parks and Recreation. A fifth site has 8 been designated as a New York State Preserve. But 9 some major upland areas adjacent to the bay have 10 been lost to development. 11 At a recent Wetlands conference, the 12 New York State Department of Environmental 13 Conservation, the New York City Environmental Protection Agency and the U.S. Army Corps of 14 Engineers and the National Park Service, all 15 16 outlined their efforts to study and mitigate the 17 marsh losses on the islands of the bay. 18 According to Fred Mushacke of DEC, studying nine other bays on Long Island where some 19 of the marsh loss is evident, the rate of loss, 44 20 21 acres a year in Jamaica Bay is significantly 22 greater, more than ten times than all of the other 23 bays. 24 Dr. Norbert Psuty of the Institute

25 for Marine and Coastal Sciences at Rutgers

2 University, said that the two natural factors 3 affecting the marshes of Jamaica Bay are the 4 sediment budget and sea-level rise. Sea-level rise 5 is relative to the subsidence of the local landmass; б there is, in addition, an absolute sea-level rise from the total increase in ocean volume. Local sea 7 level rise at 4 millimeters per year is the fastest 8 9 in 7,000 years, according to Dr. Psuty.

10 What do we stand to lose if most of 11 these marsh islands become mudflats devoid of the grass - Spartina alterniflora - that has been an 12 integral part of the Bay's ecosystem? The detritus 13 from the breakdown of Spartina is an important 14 contributor to the productivity of estuarine 15 environment that feeds marine life at the base of 16 the food chain. The distribution of the marsh 17 islands or hassocks throughout the bay provide a 18 baffle to waves, interrupting their course and 19 20 absorbing their energy, and thereby reducing the 21 wind and water-driven erosion. Those more stable 22 marsh islands - those not presently eroding - may succumb to the full force of waves that can build up 23 over miles of open water. These hassocks provide 24 shelter for wading birds and waterfowl when strong 25

2 winds whip the bay.

3 If all those mechanisms that are 4 contributing to the marsh loss go unchecked for the 5 next 25 years, it is estimated that the hassocks in 6 the bay will disappear. While it might be possible 7 to affect some of those mechanisms causing marsh 8 loss, sea level rise obviously is beyond human manipulation. It must be noted that the rate of 9 local sea level rise, or land subsidence, is 10 11 unpredictable, it can accelerate, stop or reverse. Another factor which may be 12 contributing to marsh loss is the very high level of 13 nutrients in Jamaica Bay contributing to the mussel 14 berms that form at the edges of marshes. 15 16 These berms can cause pooling of water in the marshes when tides recede, reducing 17 oxygen to rhizomes, leading to a loss of marsh 18 grasses. This excess of nutrients is a result of 19 high nitrogen loading in the effluents of four Water 20 21 Pollution Control Plants discharging into Jamaica 22 Bay. 23 Fortunately, the DEP is in the

24 process of reducing some nitrogen load entering the 25 bay by diverting sludge de-watering facilities to

2 outside the bay. But the biological nitrogen 3 reduction systems that can further reduce nitrogen 4 load of Jamaica Bay will have to wait for those 5 systems to be installed in East River first. This 6 priority for East River Water Pollution Control 7 Plants has been set by consent orders. 8 Even before the mechanism 9 contributing to the extraordinarily high rate of 10 marsh loss in the bay are fully understood, an effort to artificially add sediment to restore two 11 of the most severely affected marshes will be 12 attempted. 13 While the loss of marsh islands 14 within the bay would severely affect much of the 15 avian and marine life along with the character of 16 the bay, expansion of fringe marshes inland could 17 mitigate those affects. 18 19 And it is here where the almost 20 prescient Buffer the Bay recommendations for 21 preserving marshes and adjacent uplands will provide 22 some room for the inland migration of salt marshes with their life-sustaining peat and grasses. 23 Proposals to establish and restore fringe marshes at 24

25 the base of the Pennsylvania and Fountain Avenue

2 should be given serious consideration. 3 However, plans to pipe untreated 4 stormwater runoff from the Arverne Renewal 5 development into Jamaica Bay will be inimical to the б efforts to improve the water quality of the bay. 7 The fate of Jamaica Bay was almost 8 sealed by jetty and sea wall at Breezy Point, the 9 dredging of shipping channels providing a sink for sediment, the expansion of Barren Island, I mean of 10 Barren Island, now Floyd Bennett Field, on 11 productive marsh hassocks, the sealing off of the 12 bay tributaries which formerly contributed sediment, 13 the construction of the Belt Parkway, burying of the 14 wetlands under three landfills, dredging out Grassy 15 16 Bay down to 40 feet -- I stand corrected, that was 50 feet -- to create an airport on top of a fecund 17 and verdant brackish marsh and the construction of a 18 two-mile long sea wall east of the Marine Parkway 19 20 Bridge. If these manipulations were not enough, more 21 damage was done by replacing all the fresh water 22 tributaries with the effluent from four sewage treatment plants and replacing all the marsh 23 24 building sediments with pollutants. 25 But the fate of the bay is in our

2 hands. With much help from many friends, Jamaica Bay 3 is coming back, as herons, egrets and osprey will 4 affirm. The challenge posed by the eroding marsh 5 islands has brought together disparate government 6 agencies in an unprecedented spirit of cooperation 7 and common purpose. The New York City Audubon 8 Society applauds the work of this committee and all the government agencies committed to the 9 10 preservation of this natural treasure that is 11 Jamaica Bay. CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you. 12 Thank you very much. I appreciate 13 14 your thoughtful testimony and all the good work by Audubon. Thank you. 15 16 Mr. John Pearson, correct? MR. PEARSON: Yes, correct. 17 18 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay, please state your name for the record and proceed with your 19 20 testimony. MR. PEARSON: My name is John Pearson. 21 22 I'm attending this meeting as Chair of the New York City Sierra Club, a volunteer organization with 23 24 700,000 members nationwide, and 414,000 in New York 25 City.

2 This following brief has been 3 prepared on behalf of the New York City group by 4 Diane Buxbaum, who is our conservation chair, and 5 Jack Hoight, a member of our Executive Committee. б They were unable to be present today. 7 The mission of the Sierra Club is 8 summed up in a pair of phrases which I believe are relevant. First, explore, enjoy and protect the 9 10 planet. Second, for our families, for our future. 11 Across the country we work to protect wild places, open spaces and diverse habitats. 12 Jamaica Bay with its shores embodies most of these 13 14 things. 15 Protecting and restoring Jamaica Bay will be expensive, but as we weigh the benefits and 16 costs of protecting Jamaica Bay, it is essential 17 that we think of the future. We are trying to 18 protect the bay not just for ourselves, but even 19 20 more for our grandchildren and their grandchildren. 21 If we succeed, pay back to our grandchildren and 22 their descendents will never end. If we fail, the loss will be irreparable. They will never see a 23 wonder such as Jamaica Bay, nor even know what they 24 25 have lost.

I'm going to abbreviate some of the comments which have been very well covered by preceding speakers, and I'm going to skip to the conclusions.

6 The infrastructure on Jamaica Bay is 7 insufficient to protect the bay and its wetlands. Further commercial, residential or water-dependent 8 activities around the bay should be strictly 9 10 regulated until full protection is given to the bay. Specifically no more landfills should be created 11 12 anywhere in the area of the bay. A channel, possibly a tunnel, should be reopened to allow natural water 13 14 flows between the bay and the ocean as there once 15 were in the area where Arverne and Edgemere meet. And methods of delivering fuel by vessels to Kennedy 16 Airport and related facilities should be devised to 17 avoid stirring up bottom sediments, resuspending 18 toxics in the water, and disturbing the habitat. 19 20 Thank you for your attention. CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you, Mr. 21 22 Pearson. I appreciate your work and the work of the

23 Sierra Club. It's always great to partner with them24 on critical issues.

```
25 And speaking of partners in the
```

2 environmental movement, certainly environmental 3 defense is a great partner of ours as well, and I 4 believe we have Michelle Bicek, am I saying that 5 right? 6 MS. BICEK: Yes. 7 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay. So, please 8 state your name for the record and proceed with your 9 testimony. 10 MS. BICEK: My name is Michelle Bicek, 11 and I am --CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: If you could 12 speak right into the microphone, that way it will be 13 14 sure to come out on the recording. 15 MS. BICEK: My name is Michelle Bicek, 16 and I'm a Program Associate at Environmental Defense, and I'm presenting testimony here today on 17 18 behalf of Jim Tripp, our General Counsel at 19 Environmental Defense. Environmental Defense is a national 20 21 non-profit environmental organization headquartered 22 in New York City. 23 Environmental Defense has 3,000 24 members around the country and over 50,000 members 25 and activists in New York, and I just want to say

2 thanks for letting me testify today.

3 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: You're welcome.
4 MS. BICEK environmental Defense has
5 long been involved in issues relating to protection
6 and restoration of wetland and adjacent habitat in
7 the lower Hudson River estuary.

8 Environmental Defense, as a founding 9 member of the Waterfront Park Coalition, is also an 10 active proponent of public access to Jamaica Bay and 11 the rest of the City's waterfront.

New York has traditionally paid too New York has traditionally paid too little attention to the spectacular natural resources of our City's great estuarine ecologies, and the consequence that today, many of these resources are degraded.

This estuarine habitat includes not only Jamaica Bay, but also remarkable natural resources from Arlington Marsh to the New York side of the Arthur Kill, the Hudson River shoreline and the city's many estuarine resources that together touch all five boroughs.

As citizens rediscover the waterfront
for recreation, economic development and ferry
transportation, it is fitting that the City also

2 have a strong strategy for the protection and 3 restoration of these remarkable resources. We are 4 therefore delighted that the Committee on 5 Environmental Protection of the Council is turning 6 its attention to Jamaica Bay.

7 Jamaica Bay faces many ecological 8 threats. For example, Jamaica Bay originally 9 included over 16,000 acres of wetlands, of which 10 only 4,000 remain. The following priorities are 11 critical to the ecological future of the bay, 12 stabilizing salt marshes and restoring lost wetland 13 acreage, restoring water flows, removing fill from 14 wetland sites and restoring wetlands, strengthen 15 ecological buffers, and otherwise restore natural 16 features to the shoreline.

17 In recent years, steps have been
18 taken toward an ecological renaissance for the bay.
19 These efforts have been important first steps, but
20 they have not resolved the key outstanding issues
21 that continue to threaten aquatic habitat.

New York City can play a leadership
role in funding and identifying restoration
priorities and in providing matching funds that
support securing federal dollars.

2 To move these renaissance forward,
3 Environmental Defense urges the City Council to
4 support efforts to provide local matching funds in
5 support of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' efforts
6 to protect the bay.
7 Today, New York City DEP, State DEC
8 and the Corps of Engineers and other agencies, with

9 the support of many environmental and community 10 groups are designing a wetland island restoration 11 pilot project.

12 The cost of a full-scale wetland 13 island restoration study and implementation program 14 that we would support will in all likelihood require 15 additional local share funding.

16 The Harbor Estuary Program has identified over 20 priority habitat restoration 17 sites in Jamaica Bay. These are presented in the 18 Harbor Estuary Program's Habitat Workgroup 2001 19 Status Report, published by HEP and the New York 20 21 City Parks and recreation Natural Resources Group. 22 Environmental Defense supports moving forward with those priorities. We support the Port 23 Authority's decision to commit \$60 million to 24 25 habitat conservation throughout the estuary and we

2 urge that these funds be spent in ways that are consistent with HEP's priorities. 3 4 The New York Waterfront Blueprint 5 book, published by the New York Waterfront Park б Coalition, an organization of which we are a 7 founding member, identifies a number of public 8 access opportunities for Jamaica Bay. 9 These include, for example, completing a bike path along the north shore of the 10 11 Rockaways and linking it to complete a 22-mile loop 12 around the bay. 13 We also urge the City to identify opportunities where federal funds, including, for 14 example, transportation funds, could be used to 15 16 improve bike paths and other access to the bay. 17 Over time, this should include expanded ferry service to link communities near the 18 bay to other parts of the city. By ferry, Jamaica 19 20 Bay and the communities around it are just a half 21 hour from Manhattan. 22 Communities in this area would benefit from the increased access to jobs that this 23 24 ferry linkage would bring, and the ferry would also

open up new opportunities for all New Yorkers to

25

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
 gain access to the bay's remarkable recreational and

3 natural resources.

4 Thank you.

5 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you. Thank 6 you very much. Thank you for your testimony and for 7 what I know is going to be a partnership in this 8 process, we can always count on Environmental 9 Defense and all the other great organizations that 10 make my job easy. 11 Just a few comments or questions, we 12 won't keep you too much longer. 13 Nellie, you had indicated in this 14 statement about the need for the U.S. Fish and 15 Wildlife Service to play a more active role in this 16 process; have we heard that? Have you heard that? 17 MS. TSIPOURA: We haven't heard 18 anything. If they are involved, we don't know about 19 it. CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay. So, we'll 20 21 make a note about the potential involvement of the 22 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 23 MS. TSIPOURA: And they have a lot of 24 experience.

25 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay. And the

2 Port Authority as well.

3 You know, just like we talked about, 4 this is kind of an open question for everybody here, 5 the last panel, as you know, we were talking to the 6 scientific panel and we wanted to get their 7 expertise to bear on these issues and have them part 8 of the Jamaica Bay, you know, report process or 9 whatever, and I guess to what extent do the people in the environmental community know about this 10 11 effort to do this Jamaica Bay report? 12 Well, you heard Superintendent Garrett speak about it, I guess they are going to 13 have some scientific input to that, but to what 14 extent do the sort of like mainstream environmental 15 16 groups believe that they're sort of like stakeholders in this process and are involved with 17 Park Service; is that going on? Is that the sense 18 that you all have? Or do you feel, you know, part of 19 20 this process with regard to the Park Service, or 21 perhaps there should be part of an environmental 22 group, sort of like a formalized participation of environmental groups in this process; does anybody 23 24 have any views on that? Anybody? 25 MR. PEARSON: We're an activist group.

2 We got our main function as to educate public, 3 mobilize the public. Mobilize our members and bring 4 inference to bear on the decision-makers. And, so, 5 we definitely would like to participate in this, not 6 so much as scientists or analysts, but in order to 7 see how we can best use our influence to --8 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Well, sure. 9 Because all these groups have members, right? All these groups have members, members live nearby, a 10 lot of members in New York City, this goes out in 11 like your little bulletin, you know, that the Sierra 12 Club is participating in this, or Environmental 13 Defense is in this, or NRDC is in this, or Trust of 14 Public Land is involved in this, and, you know, it 15 16 seems like these groups are uniquely positioned to sort of get information out to the faithful 17 so-to-speak. And, so, Donna, if I could, if I could 18 direct the Committee Counsel, when we have our 19 20 follow-up discussion on this hearing, to see if we 21 can -- again, it's not my place to invite, it's not 22 my show, it's the national park's, I'm an interloper, I'm just here trying to make trouble, 23 24 trying to make trouble and earn my pay for today. 25 MR. PEARSON: May I say, we're not

2 shy. Now that we know about it we'll --3 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: No, I'm not too 4 shy myself. And, so, I think that perhaps should be 5 one our -- because the mainstreaming environmental 6 groups and even like the local community 7 environmental groups have the ability to sort of 8 reach their members, get their word out and just sort of -- I mean, I think it's always good to 9 10 create more stakeholders. You know, you don't want 11 to have too many cooks stirring the pot, but there 12 is some balance between secrecy and anarchy, there's 13 something like in the middle that perhaps we could 14 forge. 15 So, I think that's my thought. 16 Yes? MS. TSIPOURA: NRDC has been involved 17 18 in Jamaica Bay for a long time. It is an issue we 19 have been pursuing. 20 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I wasn't saying 21 you weren't. 22 MS. TSIPOURA: It's much easier to 23 pursue and get information out of the New York City 24 DEP and Parks and the Army Corps --25 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I understand,

2 but --

3 MS. TSIPOURA: We invite ourselves to4 the Parks' meetings.

5 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Great. That's б great. I'm just trying to see if I can -- because as 7 an environmentalist, as someone who is a member of a 8 lot of environmental groups, as someone who teaches 9 environmental public policy at the university level, someone who chairs an environmental committee, I've 10 got a great fondness for environmental groups and we 11 12 need their advocacy certainly, but just like we did 13 with the protection of the New York City watershed 14 where there were environmental groups, stakeholders, for part of that process, or part of the memorandum 15 16 of agreement to protect the upstate watershed, I think that that's a great paradigm and to the extent 17 that the energy of the environmental groups can be 18 brought into this process, I think it's a good 19 20 thing. I'd like to see it; and I'm directing Donna 21 DeCostanzo to, when we have our follow-up 22 discussion, to include that as one of our, you know, thoughts that we pass along to Superintendent 23 24 Garrett. 25 So, any last, anybody want the last

2 word?

3 MR. BOURQUE: I'm very much interested
4 in this conversion of the national recreation area
5 into a national park.

6 It's been a real bone of contention 7 as to what their stewardship responsibilities have 8 been. They've often emphasized the recreation over 9 their environmental stewardship, at least, and we 10 felt that way. We feel that perhaps national park 11 status might bring more balance.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Well, I'm for 12 that. I think it would be a great, not only symbolic 13 but sort of substantive, and I think that would 14 energize things. It would, you know, all the guess 15 16 what? We now have a national park in New York City, and I mean the newspapers -- it would be awesome. I 17 like that and I'm going to talk that up as well, as 18 you saw when some of the earlier witnesses, it seems 19 20 like yesterday when we had our first witness here. MR. BOURQUE: One of the things I've 21 22 questioned, I've always asked the National Park Service, is the protection of wildlife in the 23 Gateway National Recreation Area, are the wildlife 24 any less worthy of protection than the wildlife in 25

2 Yellowstone; I've never gotten an answer to that. 3 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: And going back 4 to your previous point about the Parks Service being 5 kind of, you know, perhaps more recreational 6 stewards than environmental stewards, you know, they've got a big job to do, they don't have a lot 7 of money to do it, and certainly their, one of their 8 9 main purposes is to provide opportunity for people to have access and so on. But this is a very, very 10 special place that's worthy of all that good science 11 12 and scientific investment can bring, and I know that the people from the Park Service have their heart in 13 14 the right place with regard to the environmental issues which are also near and dear to us, but they 15 16 need help and they need support and perhaps you know by all this interagency and interjurisdictional and 17 intergovernmental input, along with the help of the 18 environmental community and others who are active, 19 20 we can forge this symphony as I referred to 21 previously that can get us a really great result. 22 And I thank you for your participation today and for what I know will be a partnership from here out. 23 And I also want to state for the 24 25 record that Bernard Blum from Friends of Rockaway

| 2 | submitted written testimony. We would like to thank |
|--|--|
| | |
| 3 | for the record those people who are very concerned |
| 4 | that have been active but didn't have the ability to |
| 5 | come physically, so we'd like to thank him and |
| 6 | certainly thank all of you, and I appreciate it and |
| 7 | from this we'll go forward and thanks for staying |
| 8 | around as long as you did and giving us the benefit |
| 9 | of your views, we greatly appreciate it. |
| 10 | Thank you all very much. |
| 11 | MR. BOURQUE: Thank you. |
| 12 | CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay. With no |
| 13 | one else wishing to be heard, the meeting is |
| | |
| 14 | adjourned. |
| 14 15 | adjourned. (Hearing concluded at 2:56 p.m.) |
| | |
| 15 | |
| 15 16 | |
| 15 16 17 | |
| 15 16 17 18 | |
| 15 16 17 18 19 | |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 | |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | |

1 2 CERTIFICATION 3 4 STATE OF NEW YORK 5) б COUNTY OF NEW YORK) 7 8 9 I, CINDY MILLELOT, a Certified 10 Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby certify that the 11 12 foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the 13 within proceeding. 14 I further certify that I am not 15 related to any of the parties to this action by 16 blood or marriage, and that I am in no way 17 interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto 18 set my hand this 8th day of November 2002. 19 20 21 22 23 24 _____ 25 CINDY MILLELOT, CSR.

CERTIFICATION б I, CINDY MILLELOT, a Certified Shorthand 10 Reporter and a Notary Public in and for the State of 11 New York, do hereby certify the aforesaid to be a 12 true and accurate copy of the transcription of the 13 audio tapes of this hearing. _____ CINDY MILLELOT, CSR.